arXiv:1206.4040v1 [nlin.SI] 18 Jun 2012

SYMPLECTICALLY-INVARIANT SOLITON EQUATIONS FROM NON-STRETCHING GEOMETRIC CURVE FLOWS

STEPHEN C. ANCO and ESMAEEL ASADI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROCK UNIVERSITY, ST. CATHARINES, ON CANADA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES IN BASIC SCIENCES, GAVA ZANG, ZANJAN, IRAN

Abstract. A moving frame formulation of geometric non-stretching flows of curves in the Riemannian symmetric spaces $Sp(n+1)/Sp(1)\times Sp(n)$ and $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ is used to derive two bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies of symplectically-invariant soliton equations. As main results, multi-component versions of the sine-Gordon (SG) equation and the modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation exhibiting $Sp(1)\times Sp(n-1)$ invariance are obtained along with their bi-Hamiltonian integrability structure consisting of a shared hierarchy of symmetries and conservation laws generated by a hereditary recursion operator. The corresponding geometric curve flows in $Sp(n+1)/Sp(1)\times Sp(n)$ and $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ are shown to be described by a non-stretching wave map and a mKdV analog of a non-stretching Schrödinger map.

1. Introduction

Both the modified Korteveg-de Vries (mKdV) equation and the sine-Gordon (SG) equation are well-known to have a geometric origin given by certain flows of the curvature invariant of arclength-parameterized curves in the two-dimensional geometries $\mathbb{R}^2$ and S^2 [1, 2, 3, 4]. Similarly, the nonlinear Schrodinger (NLS) equation has long been known to arise from a certain flow of $U(1)$-covariants of arclength-parameterized curves in the three-dimensional geometries $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $SO(3)$, where the covariants are related to the standard curvature and torsion invariants of the curve by the famous Hasimoto transformation [5, 6, 7]. In all of these flows, the equation of motion of the curve has the geometrical properties that it preserves the arclength locally at each point on the curve (i.e. the motion is non-stretching) and that it is invariant under the action of the isometry group of the underlying Riemannian geometry. Additionally, the differential invariant in the two-dimensional case and the differential covariants in the three-dimensional case have a direct geometrical meaning as the components of the Cartan connection in a Riemannian parallel frame along the curve.

A broad generalization of such results has been obtained in recent work [8] using a moving parallel frame formulation for non-stretching curve flows in Riemannian symmetric spaces $M=G/H$. These spaces describe curved generalizations of Euclidean geometries in which the Euclidean isometry group is replaced by a simple Lie group G and the Euclidean frame rotation gauge group is replaced by an involutive compact Lie subgroup H in G. In this geometric setting, the Cartan connection components in a suitably defined parallel frame along an arclength-parameterized curve represent differential covariants of the curve, which are related to standard differential invariants by a generalized Hasimoto transformation. For curves undergoing certain non-stretching geometric flows, these covariants satisfy multi-component

SG and mKdV equations whose integrability structure as given by a compatible pair of Hamiltonian operators is encoded directly in the Cartan structure equations of the parallel frame. In cases where M additionally has a hermitian structure or a Lie group structure, the Hamiltonian operators also give rise to integrable multi-component NLS equations [9]. Moreover, all of these integrable multi-component equations, along with their bi-Hamiltonian structure, possess an explicit group invariance which arises from the action of the equivalence group of the parallel frame. This main result provides a geometric derivation of many known group-invariant versions of multi-component soliton equations as well as the possibility of deriving new versions that exhibit other invariance groups.

For example, there are exactly two different Riemannian symmetric spaces with the structure $G/SO(n)$, as given by $G = SO(n + 1)$ and $G = SU(n)$ (see, e.g. Ref. [10]). For curves in these two spaces, the components of the Cartan connection in a parallel frame yield $n - 1$ covariants that satisfy vector mKdV equations and vector SG equations with a $SO(n-1)$ invariance group when the curve undergoes certain non-stretching geometric flows [11] (see also Ref. [12]). This derivation geometrically accounts for the two different rotationally-invariant vector versions of the mKdV and SG equations obtained from symmetry-integrability classifications [13, 14].

In the present paper, we geometrically derive symplectically-invariant multi-component soliton equations from non-stretching curve flows in the Riemannian symmetric spaces $Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ and $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$. These two geometries happen to share the same symplectic equivalence group $Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)$ for parallel framings of arclength-parameterized curves. One main motivation for our work is the absence to-date of any symmetry-integrability classifications for multi-component versions of mKdV or SG equations with symplectic invariance.

For the geometry $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$, our results yield new symplectically-invariant vector mKdV and SG equations, together with their symplectically-invariant bi-Hamiltonian integrability structure. For the geometry $Sp(n + 1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$, we obtain symplectically-invariant scalar-vector mKdV and SG equations, which represent the component form of the quaternionic bi-Hamiltonian equations derived in recent work [15] (see also Ref. [16]) using a quaternionic version of a parallel moving frame formulated for non-stretching curve flows in the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{HP}^n \simeq U(n+1, \mathbb{H})/U(1, \mathbb{H}) \times U(n, \mathbb{H}) \simeq Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ (where $\mathbb{H}$ denotes Hamilton's quaternions). The symplectic invariance group of the resulting bi-Hamiltonian soliton equations arising from both geometries $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ and $Sp(n + 1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ is given by $Sp(1) \times Sp(n - 1)$.

We begin in Sec. 2 by summarizing the construction and properties of parallel moving frames in Riemannian symmetric spaces. In Sec. 3 we state some essential algebraic properties of the symplectic group $Sp(n)$ and the geometries $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$, $Sp(n + 1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$, which will be needed for this construction. The moving parallel frame formulation for non-stretching curve flows in these two geometries is carried out respectively at the start of Sec. 4 and Sec. 5. In Sec. 4.1 and Sec. 5.1, we derive the $Sp(1) \times Sp(n - 1)$-invariant bi-Hamiltonian operators which are subsequently used to construct the multi-component $Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)$-invariant mKdV equations and SG equations obtained in Sec. 4.2–4.3 and Sec. 5.2–5.3 for each geometry. The corresponding geometric curve flows are worked out in Sec. 4.4 and Sec. 5.4 and shown to be a non-stretching wave map equation and a mKdV analog of a non-stretching Schrödinger map equation. We conclude with some remarks in Sec. 6.

Other work [17] with a similar motivation has recently found new symplectically-invariant mKdV and SG equations of derivative type by considering certain algebraic reductions of integrable matrix systems. These derivative-type soliton equations have a different form of nonlinearity (exhibiting, in particular, a different scaling symmetry) than the mKdV and SG equations obtained from our results.

2. PARALLEL MOVING FRAMES AND NON-STRETCHING CURVE FLOWS

For a Riemannian symmetric space $M = G/H$, defined by a simple Lie group G and an involutive compact Lie subgroup H in G, any linear frame on M provides a soldering identification between the tangent space T_xM at points x and the vector space $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Relative to the Cartan-Killing form and Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$, there is a decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ given by a direct sum of orthogonal vector spaces

$$\langle \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m} \rangle = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

with the Lie bracket relations

$$[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}. \tag{2.2}$$

Geometrically, the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is identified with the generators of isometries that leave fixed the origin o in M, while the vector space $\mathfrak{m}$ is identified with the generators of isometries that carry the origin o to any point $x \neq o$ in M. These isometries represent the action of the group G on the space M, whereby the subgroup H acts as the gauge group of the frame bundle of M.

The Riemannian structure of the space $M = G/H$ is naturally described [18] in terms of a $\mathfrak{m}$-valued linear coframe e and a $\mathfrak{h}$-valued linear connection ω whose torsion and curvature

$$\mathfrak{T} := de + [\omega, e], \quad \mathfrak{R} := d\omega + \tfrac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \tag{2.3}$$

are 2-forms with respective values in $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$, given by the following Cartan structure equations:

$$\mathfrak{T} = 0, \quad \mathfrak{R} = -\tfrac{1}{2}[e, e]. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $[\cdot,\cdot]$ denotes the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ composed with the wedge product on T^*_xM. This structure together with the (negative-definite) Cartan-Killing form determines a Riemannian metric and Riemannian connection (i.e. covariant derivative) on the space M as follows: for all X, Y in T_xM,

$$g(X, Y) := -\langle e_X, e_Y \rangle, \quad e\rfloor\nabla_X Y := d_X e_Y + [\omega_X, e_Y], \tag{2.5}$$

where the coframe provides a soldering identification between the tangent space T_xM and the vector space $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ as given by $e\rfloor X := e_X, e\rfloor Y := e_Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. The connection is metric compatible, $\nabla g = 0$, and torsion-free, $T = 0$, while its curvature is covariantly constant, $\nabla R = 0$, as given by

$$e\rfloor R(X, Y)Z = [\mathfrak{R}\rfloor(X \wedge Y), e_Z] = -[[e_X, e_Y], e_Z], \quad e\rfloor T(X, Y) = \mathfrak{T}(X \wedge Y) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $T(X, Y) := \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]$ is the torsion tensor and $R(X, Y) := [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}$ is the curvature tensor. Note the linear coframe and linear connection have gauge freedom given by the following transformations

$$e \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ad}(h^{-1})e, \quad \omega \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ad}(h^{-1})\omega + h^{-1}dh \tag{2.7}$$

for an arbitrary function $h : M \to H \subset G$. These gauge transformations comprise a local (x-dependent) representation of the linear transformation group $H^* = \mathrm{Ad}(H)$ which defines the gauge group [19] of the frame bundle of M. Both the metric tensor g and curvature tensor R on M are gauge invariant.

Let $\gamma(x)$ be any smooth curve in M. A frame consists of a set of orthonormal vectors that span the tangent space $T_\gamma M$ at each point x on the curve γ. The Frenet equations of a frame yield a connection matrix consisting of the set of frame components of the covariant x-derivative of each frame vector along the curve [20]. A coframe consists of a set of orthonormal covectors that are dual to the frame vectors relative to the Riemannian metric g. Such a framing for $\gamma(x)$ is determined by the Lie-algebra components of e and $\omega\rfloor\gamma_x$ when an orthonormal basis is introduced for $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to the Cartan-Killing form, where the Frenet equations are defined by the frame components of the transport equation

$$\nabla_x e = -\mathrm{ad}(\omega\rfloor\gamma_x)e \tag{2.8}$$

along the curve. In particular, if $\{\mathbf{m}_l\}_{l=1,\ldots,\dim(\mathfrak{m})}$ is any fixed orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{m}$, then a frame at each point x along the curve is given by the set of vectors $X_l := -\langle e^*, \mathbf{m}_l\rangle$, $l = 1, \ldots, \dim(\mathfrak{m})$, in terms of a $\mathfrak{m}$-valued linear frame e^* defined to be dual to the linear coframe e through the condition that $-\langle e^*, e\rangle = \mathrm{id}$ is the identity map on each tangent space $T_x M$ (cf [8, 18]).

Now consider any smooth flow $\gamma(t, x)$ of a curve in M. We write $X = \gamma_x$ for the tangent vector and $Y = \gamma_t$ for the evolution vector at each point x along the curve. Note the flow is *non-stretching* provided that it preserves the G-invariant arclength $ds = |\gamma_x|dx$, or equivalently $\nabla_t|\gamma_x| = 0$, in which case we have

$$g(\gamma_x, \gamma_x) = |\gamma_x|^2 = 1 \tag{2.9}$$

without loss of generality. For flows that are transverse to the curve (such that X and Y are linearly independent), $\gamma(t, x)$ will describe a smooth two-dimensional surface in M. The pullback of the torsion and curvature equations (2.4) to this surface yields

$$D_x e_t - D_t e_x + [\omega_x, e_t] - [\omega_t, e_x] = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$D_x \omega_t - D_t \omega_x + [\omega_x, \omega_t] = -[e_x, e_t], \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$e_x := e\rfloor X = e\rfloor\gamma_x, \qquad e_t := e\rfloor Y = e\rfloor\gamma_t, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\omega_x := \omega\rfloor X = \omega\rfloor\gamma_x, \qquad \omega_t := \omega\rfloor Y = \omega\rfloor\gamma_t, \tag{2.13}$$

where D_x, D_t denote total derivatives with respect to x, t. Remarkably, for any non-stretching curve flow, these structure equations (2.10)–(2.13) encode an explicit pair of bi-Hamiltonian operators once a specific choice of frame along $\gamma(t, x)$ is made.

We utilize a natural choice of a moving frame defined by the following two properties which are a direct algebraic generalization of a parallel moving frame in Euclidean geometry [8]:

(1) e_x is a constant unit-norm element lying in a Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ that is contained in the centralizer subspace $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$ of e_x, i.e. $D_x e_x = D_t e_x = 0, \langle e_x, e_x \rangle = -1$, and $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}) e_x = 0$ where $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\perp} = \mathfrak{m}$ and $\langle \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{m}_{\perp} \rangle = 0$.

(2) ω_x lies in the perp space $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ of the linear isotropy group $H^*_{\parallel} \subset H^* = \mathrm{Ad}(H)$ that preserves e_x, i.e. $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}) e_x = 0$ and $\langle \omega_x, \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel} \rangle = 0$ where $\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp} = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\langle \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{h}_{\perp} \rangle = 0$.

Cartan subspaces of $\mathfrak{m}$ are defined as a maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, having the property that it is the centralizer of its elements, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a})$. It is well-known (see, e.g. Ref. [10]) that any two Cartan subspaces are isomorphic to one another under some linear transformation in $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$ and that the action of the linear transformation group $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$ on any Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a}$ generates $\mathfrak{m}$. The dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$ as a vector space is equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{m}$.

A moving frame satisfying properties (1) and (2) is called *H-parallel* and its existence can be established by constructing a suitable gauge transformation (2.7) on an arbitrary frame at each point x along the curve [8]. Specifically, given any $\mathfrak{m}$-valued linear coframe $\tilde{e}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$-valued linear connection matrix $\tilde{\omega}_x$ along γ, we can first find a gauge transformation such that $h^{-1} \tilde{e}_x h = e_x$ is a constant element in any Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, as a consequence of the fact $\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{a}$. The norm of e_x will satisfy $-\langle e_x, e_x \rangle = g(\gamma_x, \gamma_x) = 1$ because we have chosen an arclength parameterization (2.9) of the curve. We can then find a gauge transformation belonging to the subgroup $H^*_{\parallel}$ preserving e_x, so that $h^{-1} D_x h + h^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_x h = \omega_x$ where $h(x) \in H^*_{\parallel}$ is given by solving the linear matrix ODE $D_x h + \tilde{\varpi}^{\parallel} h = 0$ in terms of the decomposition of $\tilde{\omega}_x = \tilde{\varpi}^{\parallel} + \tilde{\varpi}^{\perp}$ relative to e_x. Note the solution will depend on an arbitrary initial condition $h(x_0) \in H^*_{\parallel}$, specified at some point $x = x_0$ along the curve, which represents a rigid gauge freedom (i.e. the equivalence group) in the construction of the H-parallel moving frame.

Underpinning this construction are the Lie bracket relations on $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$, $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ coming from the structure of $\mathfrak{g}$ as a symmetric Lie algebra (2.2). These relations consist of

$$[\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$[\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$[\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \tag{2.16}$$

while the remaining Lie brackets

$$[\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}], \quad [\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}], \quad [\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}] \tag{2.17}$$

obey the general relations (2.2).

Theorem 2.1. *For $e_x \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$, let $e_t = h_{\parallel} + h_{\perp} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$, $\omega_t = \varpi^{\parallel} + \varpi^{\perp} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$, and $u = \omega_x \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$. Also let $h^{\perp} = \mathrm{ad}(e_x) h_{\perp} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$. Then the Cartan structure equations* (2.10)–(2.11) *for any H-parallel linear coframe e and linear connection ω pulled back to the two-dimensional surface $\gamma(t,x)$ in $M = G/H$ yield the flow equation* [8]

$$u_t = \mathcal{H}(\varpi^{\perp}) + h^{\perp}, \quad \varpi^{\perp} = \mathcal{J}(h^{\perp}), \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}}, \quad \mathcal{J} = -\mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1} \mathcal{K}|_{\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}} \mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1} \tag{2.19}$$

are compatible Hamiltonian operators that act on $\mathfrak{h}_\perp$-valued functions and that are invariant under $H^*_\parallel$, as defined in terms of the linear operator

$$\mathcal{K} := D_x + [u, \cdot\ ]_\perp - [u, D_x^{-1}[u, \cdot\ ]_\parallel]. \tag{2.20}$$

We emphasize that the formulation in Theorem 2.1 applies to *all* non-stretching curve flows $\gamma(t,x)$ in $M = G/H$, with the flow being determined by specifying $h^\perp$, or equivalently $h_\perp = \mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}h^\perp$, freely as a function of t at each point x along the curve. In particular, every flow equation (2.18) determines a corresponding flow $\gamma(t,x)$ through the geometrical relation

$$Y = -\langle e^*, h_\perp + h_\parallel\rangle = \langle e^*, \mathcal{Y}(h^\perp)\rangle \tag{2.21}$$

in terms of the operator

$$\mathcal{Y} := D_x^{-1}[u, \mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}\cdot\ ]_\parallel - \mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}\cdot \tag{2.22}$$

where e^* is the linear frame dual to the linear coframe e along γ, with $e_x = e\rfloor X$. In this correspondence (2.21), e_x is preserved under the action of the equivalence group $H^*_\parallel$, while up to equivalence, both e^* and e are determined by ω_x from the transport equation (2.8) along γ. The resulting equation of motion $\gamma_t = Y = \langle e^*, \mathcal{Y}(h^\perp)\rangle$ will be G-invariant if and only if $h^\perp$ is a $H^*_\parallel$-equivariant function of x, u, and x-derivatives of u. In addition, the corresponding flow on $u(t,x)$ will have a Hamiltonian structure if and only if $\varpi^\perp = \mathcal{J}(h^\perp)$ is the variational derivative of some $H^*_\parallel$-invariant Hamiltonian function of x, u, and x-derivatives of u. The following general results are established in Ref. [8].

Theorem 2.2. *Composition of the operators $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ yields a recursion operator $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{J}$ that produces a hierarchy of $H^*_\parallel$-invariant flows* (2.18) *on u given in terms of*

$$h^\perp_{(l)} = \mathcal{R}^l(u_x), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{2.23}$$

Each flow in this hierarchy inherits a bi-Hamiltonian structure given by

$$h^\perp_{(l)} = \mathcal{H}(\varpi^\perp_{(l)}) = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\varpi^\perp_{(l+1)}), \quad \varpi^\perp_{(l)} = \delta H^{(l)}/\delta u = \mathcal{R}^{*l}(u), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.24}$$

in terms of the $H^*_\parallel$-invariant Hamiltonians

$$H^{(l)} = \frac{-1}{1+2l}\langle e_x, h^{(l)}_\parallel\rangle, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2.25}$$

where $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}$ is the adjoint of $\mathcal{R}$. Moreover, the kernel of the recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ yields a further $H^*_\parallel$-invariant flow (2.18) *on u in terms of $h^\perp_{(-1)}$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{J}(h^\perp_{(-1)}) = 0. \tag{2.26}$$

This flow has a Hamiltonian structure given by

$$h^\perp_{(-1)} = \mathcal{H}(\varpi^\perp_{(-1)}), \quad \varpi^\perp_{(-1)} = \delta H^{(-1)}/\delta u \tag{2.27}$$

with

$$H^{(-1)} = \langle e_x, h^{(-1)}_\parallel\rangle. \tag{2.28}$$

The bi-Hamiltonian flows (2.23) and (2.26) have a geometrical formulation through the correspondence (2.21).

Theorem 2.3. *The hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian flows* (2.23) *corresponds to a hierarchy of non-stretching geometric curve flows in* $M = G/H$ *given by equations of motion*

$$\gamma_t = Y_{(l)}(\gamma_x, \nabla_x \gamma_x, \ldots, \nabla_x^{2l} \gamma_x), \quad |\gamma_x| = 1, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{2.29}$$

where $Y_{(l)} = \langle e^*, \mathcal{Y}(h^\perp_{(l)}) \rangle$. *The additional Hamiltonian flow* (2.26) *corresponds to the non-stretching geometric curve flow*

$$\nabla_x \gamma_t = \nabla_x Y_{(-1)} = 0, \quad |\gamma_x| = 1, \tag{2.30}$$

with $Y_{(-1)} = \langle e^*, \mathcal{Y}(h^\perp_{(-1)}) \rangle$. *Each equation of motion (*$l = -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots$*) is invariant with respect to the isometry group* G *of* M *and preserves the* G*-invariant arclength* x *of the curve* $\gamma(t, x)$.

3. ALGEBRAIC PRELIMINARIES

Recall, the complex symplectic group $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the group of matrices g in $GL(2n, \mathbb{C})$ that leaves invariant the exterior form $z_1 \wedge z_{n+1} + \cdots + z_n \wedge z_{2n}$ in terms of coordinates $(z_1, \ldots, z_{2n}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, i.e.

$$g^{\mathrm{t}} J g = J, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.2}$$

with I_n denoting the identity matrix in $GL(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Also recall, the complex unitary group $U(2n)$ is the group of matrices g in $GL(2n, \mathbb{C})$ that leaves invariant the Hermitian form $z_1 \overline{z}_1 + \cdots + z_{2n} \overline{z}_{2n}$, i.e.

$$g^{\mathrm{t}} \overline{g} = I_{2n}. \tag{3.3}$$

The compact *symplectic group* is defined by $Sp(n) = Sp(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap U(2n)$.

For later convenience, we let $\mathfrak{s}(n, \mathbb{C})$ denote the vector space of symmetric matrices g in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$), i.e. $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{t}} = \mathrm{g}$.

3.1. The vector space $\mathfrak{su}(2n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n)$.

The special unitary Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2n)$ is defined by the matrices g in $\mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ that are skew-Hermitian and trace-free, i.e. $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{\mathrm{g}}$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{g}) = 0$. There is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\sigma(\mathrm{g}) = J \overline{\mathrm{g}} J^{-1} \tag{3.4}$$

preserving $\mathfrak{su}(2n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. The matrices h in $\mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ that are skew-Hermitian, trace-free, and invariant under σ, i.e. $\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{\mathrm{h}}$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{h}) = 0$, $\sigma(\mathrm{h}) = \mathrm{h}$, span the compact symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$. This leads to the orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)$ as a symmetric Lie algebra given by the eigenspaces of σ,

$$\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{sp}(n) \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad \sigma(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{su}(2n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n) \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad \sigma(\mathfrak{m}) = -\mathfrak{m}. \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.1.

1. The matrix representations of the vector space $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *and the Lie subalgebra* $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *in* $\mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ *are respectively given by*

$$(A, B) := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \overline{B} & -\overline{A} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}, \quad B^{\mathrm{t}} = -B, \quad A^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{A}, \quad \mathrm{tr}(A) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$(C, D) := \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ -\overline{D} & \overline{C} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad C^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{C}, \quad D^{\mathrm{t}} = D, \tag{3.8}$$

where $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$*. The Lie bracket relations* (2.2) *have the matrix representation*

$$[(A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2)] = ([A_1, A_2] + B_1\overline{B}_2 - B_2\overline{B}_1, A_1B_2 + B_2\overline{A}_1 - B_1\overline{A}_2 - A_2B_1) \in \mathfrak{h}, \tag{3.9a}$$

$$[(A_1, B_1), (C_1, D_1)] = ([A_1, C_1] - B_1\overline{D}_1 - D_1\overline{B}_1, A_1D_1 + D_1\overline{A}_1 + B_1\overline{C}_1 - C_1B_1) \in \mathfrak{m}, \tag{3.9b}$$

$$[(C_1, D_1), (C_2, D_2)] = ([C_1, C_2] - D_1\overline{D}_2 + D_2\overline{D}_1, C_1D_2 - D_2\overline{C}_1 + D_1\overline{C}_2 - C_2D_1) \in \mathfrak{h}. \tag{3.9c}$$

2. The restriction of the Cartan-Killing form on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)$ *to* $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *yields a negative-definite inner product*

$$\langle (A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2) \rangle = 4n\big(2\mathrm{tr}(A_1A_2) + \mathrm{tr}(\overline{B}_1B_2 + B_1\overline{B}_2)\big). \tag{3.10}$$

3. The (real) dimension of $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *is* $(n-1)(2n+1)$ *and its rank is* $n-1$*.*

The subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ spanned by the $n-1$ matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_k & 0 \\ 0 & E_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_k = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k-1}, \mathrm{i}, -\mathrm{i}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-k+1}), \quad k = 1, \ldots n-1 \tag{3.11}$$

is a Cartan subspace. A special choice of an element of $\mathfrak{a}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{e} := \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n), \quad E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}\mathrm{diag}((n-1)\mathrm{i}, \underbrace{-\mathrm{i}, \ldots, -\mathrm{i}}_{n-1}) = -\overline{E}, \tag{3.12}$$

which has the distinguishing property that the centralizer subspace $\mathfrak{c}(\mathrm{e})$ of e in $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)$ is of maximal dimension. The corresponding linear operator ad(e) induces a direct sum decomposition of the vector spaces $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ into centralizer spaces $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}$ and their orthogonal complements (perp spaces) $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ with respect to the Cartan-Killing form. Through the Lie bracket relation (2.16), this operator ad(e) maps $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ into $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$, and vice versa, whence $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})^2$ is well-defined as a linear mapping of each subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ into itself. The eigenvalues of this linear map can be normalized relative to the Cartan-Killing form by choosing the factor χ so that e has unit norm,

$$-1 = \langle \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e} \rangle = 8n\mathrm{tr}(E^2) = -8(n-1)n^2/\chi, \tag{3.13}$$

which determines

$$\chi = 8(n-1)n^2. \tag{3.14}$$

Lemma 3.2.

1. The matrix representations of $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$ *and* $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ *in* $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{su}(2n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *are given by*

$$(\mathbf{A}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{B}_{\parallel}) := \begin{pmatrix} A_{\parallel} & B_{\parallel} \\ \overline{B}_{\parallel} & -\overline{A}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \quad (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}, \mathbf{b}_{\perp}) := \begin{pmatrix} A_{\perp} & B_{\perp} \\ \overline{B}_{\perp} & -\overline{A}_{\perp} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \tag{3.15}$$

in which

$$A_{\parallel} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{A}_{\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{\parallel} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{B}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_{\parallel}^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{\parallel}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{\parallel}^{\mathrm{t}} = -\mathbf{B}_{\parallel},$$

$$A_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{a}_{\perp} \\ -\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{\perp}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}_{\perp} \\ -\mathbf{b}_{\perp}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{\parallel} \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1)$, $\mathbf{B}_{\parallel} \in \mathfrak{so}(n-1,\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbf{a}_{\perp}, \mathbf{b}_{\perp} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.

2. The matrix representations of $\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ *in* $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *are given by*

$$((\mathrm{c}_{\parallel}, \mathrm{d}_{\parallel}), (\mathbf{C}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{D}_{\parallel})) := \begin{pmatrix} C_{\parallel} & D_{\parallel} \\ -\overline{D}_{\parallel} & \overline{C}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \quad (\mathbf{c}_{\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{\perp}) := \begin{pmatrix} C_{\perp} & D_{\perp} \\ -\overline{D}_{\perp} & \overline{C}_{\perp} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \tag{3.16}$$

in which

$$C_{\parallel} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{c}_{\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{C}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_{\parallel} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}_{\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{D}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\parallel}^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\parallel}, \quad \mathbf{D}_{\parallel}^{\mathrm{t}} = \mathbf{D}_{\parallel},$$

$$C_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{c}_{\perp} \\ -\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{\perp}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{d}_{\perp} \\ \mathbf{d}_{\perp}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{\parallel} \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1)$, $\mathbf{D}_{\parallel} \in \mathfrak{s}(n-1,\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbf{c}_{\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{\perp} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, $\mathrm{c}_{\parallel} \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{d}_{\parallel} \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. $\dim \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} = (n-1)(2n-3)$, $\dim \mathfrak{m}_{\perp} = \dim \mathfrak{h}_{\perp} = 4(n-1)$, $\dim \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel} = (n-1)(2n-1)+3$.

4. The linear operator $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})$ *acts on* $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ *by*

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})(\mathbf{a}_{\perp}, \mathbf{b}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{a}_{\perp}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{b}_{\perp}) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \quad \mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})(\mathbf{c}_{\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{c}_{\perp}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{d}_{\perp}) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp} \tag{3.17}$$

where $\rho = \chi/n^2 = 8(n-1)$.

To write out the explicit Lie bracket relations on $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$, we introduce the following inner products and outer products. For $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, let

$$P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \mathbf{x}\overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{t}} - \mathbf{y}\overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{t}} = \mathrm{i}2\mathrm{Im} < \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} > \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \mathbf{x}\overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{y}\overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{t}} = 2\mathrm{Re} < \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} > \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{t}} = < \mathbf{x}, \overline{\mathbf{y}} > + < \mathbf{y}, \overline{\mathbf{x}} > \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$< \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} > = \mathbf{x}\overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{t}} = \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \tag{3.21}$$

is the Hermitian inner product, and where

$$< \mathbf{x}, \overline{\mathbf{y}} > = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{t}} = \mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{t}} = \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \tag{3.22}$$

is the standard Euclidean inner product. Also let

$$\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{y} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1), \tag{3.23}$$

$$\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{so}(n-1,\mathbb{C}), \tag{3.24}$$

$$\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\mathbf{y}} + \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{s}(n-1,\mathbb{C}). \tag{3.25}$$

The inner products (3.18)–(3.20) have the following symmetry properties

$$P(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = -P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad Q(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad S(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \tag{3.26}$$

while the outer products (3.23)–(3.25) obey the following transpose, symmetry, and trace properties

$$\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})}, \quad \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{y},\mathrm{x}) = -\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y}), \tag{3.27a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})^{\mathrm{t}} = -\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y}), \quad \boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{y},\mathrm{x}) = -\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})}, \tag{3.27b}$$

$$\boldsymbol{S}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})^{\mathrm{t}} = \boldsymbol{S}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y}), \quad \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{y},\mathrm{x}) = \overline{\boldsymbol{S}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})}, \tag{3.27c}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})) = P(\mathbf{y},\mathrm{x}), \quad \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})) = 0, \quad \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{S}(\mathrm{x},\mathbf{y})) = 2 < \mathrm{x},\mathbf{y} > . \tag{3.27d}$$

Proposition 3.3.
1. The Lie brackets (2.14)–(2.16) *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\mathbf{A}_{1\|},\mathbf{B}_{1\|}),(\mathbf{A}_{2\|},\mathbf{B}_{2\|})]\\
&= \big((0,0),([\mathbf{A}_{1\|},\mathbf{A}_{2\|}] - \mathbf{B}_{2\|}\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{1\|} + \mathbf{B}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{2\|}, \mathbf{A}_{1\|}\mathbf{B}_{2\|} + \mathbf{B}_{2\|}\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1\|} - \mathbf{B}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{2\|} - \mathbf{A}_{2\|}\mathbf{B}_{1\|})\big) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\|},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[((\mathrm{c}_{1\|},\mathrm{d}_{1\|}),(\mathbf{C}_{1\|},\mathbf{D}_{1\|})),(\mathbf{A}_{2\|},\mathbf{B}_{2\|})]\\
&= ([\mathbf{C}_{1\|},\mathbf{A}_{2\|}] + \mathbf{D}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{2\|} + \mathbf{B}_{2\|}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{1\|}, \mathbf{C}_{1\|}\mathbf{B}_{2\|} - \mathbf{B}_{2\|}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{1\|} - \mathbf{D}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{2\|} - \mathbf{A}_{2\|}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{1\|}) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\|},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[((\mathrm{c}_{1\|},\mathrm{d}_{1\|}),(\mathbf{C}_{1\|},\mathbf{D}_{1\|})),((\mathrm{c}_{2\|},\mathrm{d}_{2\|}),(\mathbf{C}_{2\|},\mathbf{D}_{2\|}))]\\
&= \big((\mathrm{d}_{2\|}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{1\|} - \mathrm{d}_{1\|}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{2\|}, \mathrm{c}_{1\|}\mathrm{d}_{2\|} + \mathrm{d}_{2\|}\mathrm{c}_{1\|} - \mathrm{d}_{1\|}\mathrm{c}_{2\|} - \mathrm{c}_{2\|}\mathrm{d}_{1\|}),\\
&\qquad ([\mathbf{C}_{1\|},\mathbf{C}_{2\|}] + \mathbf{D}_{2\|}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{1\|} - \mathbf{D}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{2\|}, \mathbf{C}_{1\|}\mathbf{D}_{2\|} - \mathbf{D}_{2\|}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{1\|} + \mathbf{D}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{2\|} - \mathbf{C}_{2\|}\mathbf{D}_{1\|})\big) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\|},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[((\mathrm{c}_{1\|},\mathrm{d}_{1\|}),(\mathbf{C}_{1\|},\mathbf{D}_{1\|})),(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})]\\
&= (-\mathbf{a}_{2\perp}\mathbf{C}_{1\|} + \mathrm{c}_{1\|}\mathbf{a}_{2\perp} + \mathbf{b}_{2\perp}\mathbf{D}_{1\|} + \mathrm{d}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{b}}_{2\perp}, -\mathbf{a}_{2\perp}\mathbf{D}_{1\|} - \mathrm{d}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{1\|} + \mathrm{c}_{1\|}\mathbf{b}_{2\perp}) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[((\mathrm{c}_{1\|},\mathrm{d}_{1\|}),(\mathbf{C}_{1\|},\mathbf{D}_{1\|})),(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})]\\
&= (\mathrm{c}_{1\|}\mathbf{c}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{C}_{1\|} - \mathrm{d}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{2\perp} + \mathbf{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{1\|}, \mathrm{c}_{1\|}\mathbf{d}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{1\|} + \mathrm{d}_{1\|}\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{D}_{1\|}) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\mathbf{A}_{1\|},\mathbf{B}_{1\|}),(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})]\\
&= (-(\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{A}_{1\|})\mathbf{a}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{a}_{2\perp}\mathbf{A}_{1\|} - \mathbf{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{1\|}, -(\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{A}_{1\|})\mathbf{b}_{2\perp} + \mathbf{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1\|} - \mathbf{a}_{2\perp}\mathbf{B}_{1\|}) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\mathbf{A}_{1\|},\mathbf{B}_{1\|}),(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})]\\
&= (-(\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{A}_{1\|})\mathbf{c}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{A}_{1\|} - \mathbf{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{1\|}, -(\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{A}_{1\|})\mathbf{d}_{2\perp} + \mathbf{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{1\|} - \mathbf{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{B}_{1\|}) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30b}$$

2. The remaining Lie brackets (2.17) *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})]\\
&= \big((P(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{a}_{1\perp})-P(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp}),-S(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})+S(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp})),\\
&\qquad(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{a}_{1\perp})+\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})^{\mathrm{t}},-\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{b}_{2\perp},\mathbf{a}_{1\perp})+\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp}))\big)\in\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \qquad (3.31a)\\
&[(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})]\\
&= \big((P(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{c}_{1\perp})+P(\mathbf{d}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{1\perp}),S(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})-S(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})),\\
&\qquad(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{c}_{1\perp})+\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})^{\mathrm{t}},-\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{d}_{2\perp},\mathbf{c}_{1\perp})+\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp}))\big)\in\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \qquad (3.31b)\\
&[(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})]\\
&= \big(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{a}_{1\perp})+\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{d}_{2\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp})^{\mathrm{t}},\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{d}_{2\perp},\mathbf{a}_{1\perp})-\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})\big)\in\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}. \qquad (3.31c)
\end{aligned}$$

3. The Cartan-Killing form on $\mathfrak{m}_\perp$ *is given by*

$$\langle(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})\rangle = -8n\big(Q(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp})+Q(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})\big). \qquad (3.32)$$

The adjoint action of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_\parallel \subset \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(n)$ generates the linear transformation group $H^*_\parallel \subset H^* = \mathrm{Ad}(H)$ that preserves the element e in the Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{su}(n)/\mathfrak{sp}(n)$. This group $H^*_\parallel$ can be identified with the adjoint action of a symplectic group $Sp(1)\times Sp(n-1) \subset Sp(n)$ whose matrix representation is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & D\\ -\overline{D} & \overline{C}\end{pmatrix} \in Sp(1)\times Sp(n-1) \simeq H^*_\parallel, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0\\ 0 & \boldsymbol{C}\end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0\\ 0 & \boldsymbol{D}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.33)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\boldsymbol{C}} + \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\boldsymbol{D}} = I_{n-1}, \quad \boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\boldsymbol{D}} - \overline{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathrm{t}}\boldsymbol{C} = 0, \qquad (3.34)$$

$$c\bar{c} + d\bar{d} = 1. \qquad (3.35)$$

In particular, the subgroup $Sp(n-1) \subset H^*_\parallel$ acts on $\mathfrak{m}_\perp$ by right multiplication,

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\boldsymbol{C},\boldsymbol{D})(\mathbf{a}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = (\mathbf{a}_\perp\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{b}_\perp\overline{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathrm{t}}, -\mathbf{a}_\perp\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{b}_\perp\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{t}}) \in \mathfrak{m}_\perp, \qquad (3.36)$$

where $(\boldsymbol{C},\boldsymbol{D}) \in Sp(n-1)$ is defined to be the matrix (3.33) with $c = 1$ and $d = 0$, and the subgroup $Sp(1) \subset H^*_\parallel$ acts similarly by

$$\mathrm{Ad}(c,d)(\mathbf{a}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = (c\mathbf{a}_\perp + d\overline{\mathbf{b}}_\perp, c\mathbf{b}_\perp - d\overline{\mathbf{a}}_\perp) \in \mathfrak{m}_\perp. \qquad (3.37)$$

where $(c,d) \in Sp(1)$ is defined to be the matrix (3.33) with $\boldsymbol{C} = I_{n-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{D} = 0$. Composition of these subgroups (3.36) and (3.37) yields the group $H^*_\parallel = \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(1)\times Sp(n-1)) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(n))$.

Proposition 3.4. *The vector space* $\mathfrak{m}_\perp \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n-1}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ *is an irreducible representation of the group* $H^*_\parallel$ *on which the linear map* $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})^2$ *is a multiple of the identity:*

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})^2(\mathbf{a}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = -\frac{1}{\rho}(\mathbf{a}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) \qquad (3.38)$$

where

$$\rho = 8(n-1). \qquad (3.39)$$

3.2. **The vector space $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$.** The symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ consists of all matrices g in $\mathfrak{gl}(2(n+1),\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$\mathrm{g}J + J\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{t}} = 0, \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{\mathrm{g}}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n+1} \\ -I_{n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.40}$$

There is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}(2(n+1),\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\sigma(\mathrm{g}) = S\mathrm{g}S, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n,1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n,1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_{n,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.41}$$

preserving $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)\subset\mathfrak{gl}(2(n+1),\mathbb{C})$. The matrices in $\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ that are invariant under σ span the compact symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$. This leads to the orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ as a symmetric Lie algebra given by the eigenspaces of σ,

$$\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n) \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad \sigma(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h} \tag{3.42}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n) \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad \sigma(\mathfrak{m}) = -\mathfrak{m}. \tag{3.43}$$

Lemma 3.5.

1. The matrix representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\overline{B} & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sp}(n+1), \quad A^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{A}, \quad B^{\mathrm{t}} = B, \tag{3.44}$$

where $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n+1,\mathbb{C})$. The matrix representations of the vector space $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ and the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(2(n+1),\mathbb{C})$ are respectively given by

$$(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -\overline{B} & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}, \quad A^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{A}, \quad B^{\mathrm{t}} = B \tag{3.45}$$

$$((\mathrm{c},\mathrm{d}),(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{D})) := \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ -\overline{D} & \overline{C} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad C^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{C}, \quad D^{\mathrm{t}} = D, \tag{3.46}$$

in which

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{a} \\ -\overline{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.47}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{c} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.48}$$

where $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\in\mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathrm{c}\in\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{d}\in\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{C}\in\mathfrak{u}(n,\mathbb{C})$, $\mathbf{D}\in\mathfrak{s}(n,\mathbb{C})$.

2. The Lie bracket relations (2.2) have the matrix representation

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\mathbf{a}_1,\mathbf{b}_1),(\mathbf{a}_2,\mathbf{b}_2)] = ((\mathbf{a}_2\overline{\mathbf{a}}_1^{\mathrm{t}} - \mathbf{a}_1\overline{\mathbf{a}}_2^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{b}_2\overline{\mathbf{b}}_1^{\mathrm{t}} - \mathbf{b}_1\overline{\mathbf{b}}_2^{\mathrm{t}}, \mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{b}_2^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{b}_2\mathbf{a}_1^{\mathrm{t}} - \mathbf{b}_1\mathbf{a}_2^{\mathrm{t}} - \mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{b}_1^{\mathrm{t}}),\\
&\qquad (\overline{\mathbf{a}}_2^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{a}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{a}}_1^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{b}_2^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\mathbf{b}}_1 - \mathbf{b}_1^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\mathbf{b}}_2, -\mathbf{b}_2^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\mathbf{a}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{a}}_1^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{b}_2 + \mathbf{b}_1^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\mathbf{a}}_2 + \overline{\mathbf{a}}_2^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{b}_1)) \in \mathfrak{h},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(\mathbf{a}_1,\mathbf{b}_1),((\mathrm{c}_1,\mathrm{d}_1),(\mathbf{C}_1,\mathbf{D}_1))] = (\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{C}_1 - \mathrm{c}_1\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{b}_1\overline{\mathbf{D}}_1 + \mathrm{d}_1\mathbf{b}_1,\\
&\qquad \mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{D}_1 - \mathrm{d}_1\overline{\mathbf{a}}_1 + \mathbf{b}_1\overline{\mathbf{C}}_1 - \mathrm{c}_1\mathbf{b}_1) \in \mathfrak{m},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[((\mathrm{c}_1,\mathrm{d}_1),(\mathbf{C}_1,\mathbf{D}_1)),((\mathrm{c}_2,\mathrm{d}_2),(\mathbf{C}_2,\mathbf{D}_2))] = ((-\mathrm{d}_1\overline{\mathrm{d}}_2 + \mathrm{d}_2\overline{\mathrm{d}}_1, \mathrm{c}_1\mathrm{d}_2 - \mathrm{d}_2\overline{\mathrm{c}}_1 + \mathrm{d}_1\overline{\mathrm{c}}_2 - \mathrm{c}_2\mathrm{d}_1),\\
&\qquad (\mathbf{C}_1\mathbf{C}_2 - \mathbf{C}_2\mathbf{C}_1 - \mathbf{D}_1\overline{\mathbf{D}}_2 + \mathbf{D}_2\overline{\mathbf{D}}_1, \mathbf{C}_1\mathbf{D}_2 - \mathbf{D}_2\overline{\mathbf{C}}_1 + \mathbf{D}_1\overline{\mathbf{C}}_2 - \mathbf{C}_2\mathbf{D}_1)) \in \mathfrak{h}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49c}$$

3. The restriction of the Cartan-Killing form on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ *to* $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *yields a negative-definite inner product*

$$\langle(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}_1), (\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}_2)\rangle = -4(n+2)\big(\mathbf{a}_1\overline{\mathbf{a}}_2^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{a}_2\overline{\mathbf{a}}_1^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{b}_1\overline{\mathbf{b}}_2^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{b}_2\overline{\mathbf{b}}_1^{\mathrm{t}}\big). \tag{3.50}$$

3. The (real) dimension of $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *is* $4n$ *and its rank is* 1.

The one-dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ spanned by the matrix

$$(\mathbf{e}_1, 0) := \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}, \quad E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{e}_1 \\ -\mathbf{e}_1^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_1 = (1, \underbrace{0, ..., 0}_{n-1}) \tag{3.51}$$

is a Cartan subspace. The element

$$\mathrm{e} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}(\mathbf{e}_1, 0) \in \mathfrak{a} \tag{3.52}$$

in this subspace has unit norm, where

$$-1 = \langle \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}\rangle = -8(n+2)/\chi \tag{3.53}$$

determines

$$\chi = 8(n+2). \tag{3.54}$$

The corresponding linear operator ad(e) induces a direct sum decomposition of the vector spaces $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ into centralizer spaces $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}$ and their orthogonal complements (perp spaces) $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ with respect to the Cartan-Killing form. From the Lie bracket relation (2.16), $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ is mapped into $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$, and vice versa, under ad(e). Hence $\mathrm{ad(e)}^2$ defines a linear mapping of each subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ into itself.

Lemma 3.6.
1. The matrix representations of $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$ *and* $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ *in* $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *are given by*

$$(\mathrm{a}_{\parallel}) := \begin{pmatrix} A_{\parallel} & B_{\parallel} \\ -\overline{B}_{\parallel} & \overline{A}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \quad ((\mathrm{a}_{\perp}, \mathrm{b}_{\perp}), (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}, \mathbf{b}_{\perp})) := \begin{pmatrix} A_{\perp} & B_{\perp} \\ -\overline{B}_{\perp} & \overline{A}_{\perp} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \tag{3.55}$$

in which

$$A_{\parallel} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{a}_{\parallel} & 0 \\ -\mathrm{a}_{\parallel} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{\parallel} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{a}_{\perp} & \mathbf{a}_{\perp} \\ \mathrm{a}_{\perp} & 0 & 0 \\ -\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{\perp}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{b}_{\perp} & \mathbf{b}_{\perp} \\ \mathrm{b}_{\perp} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_{\perp}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathrm{a}_{\parallel} \in \mathbb{R}, \mathrm{a}_{\perp} \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{b}_{\perp} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{b}_{\perp}, \mathbf{a}_{\perp} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.
2. The matrix representations of $\mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ *in* $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ *are given by*

$$((\mathrm{c}_{\parallel}, \mathrm{d}_{\parallel}), (\mathbf{C}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{D}_{\parallel})) := \begin{pmatrix} C_{\parallel} & D_{\parallel} \\ -\overline{D}_{\parallel} & \overline{C}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \quad ((\mathrm{c}_{\perp}, \mathrm{d}_{\perp}), (\mathbf{c}_{\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{\perp})) := \begin{pmatrix} C_{\perp} & D_{\perp} \\ -\overline{D}_{\perp} & \overline{C}_{\perp} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \tag{3.56}$$

in which

$$C_{\parallel} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{c}_{\parallel} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{c}_{\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{C}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_{\parallel} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}_{\parallel} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{d}_{\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{D}_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\parallel}^{\mathrm{t}} = -\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\parallel}, \quad \mathbf{D}_{\parallel}^{\mathrm{t}} = \mathbf{D}_{\parallel},$$
$$C_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{c}_{\perp} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{c}_{\perp} & \mathbf{c}_{\perp} \\ 0 & -\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{\perp}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}_{\perp} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{d}_{\perp} & \mathbf{d}_{\perp} \\ 0 & \mathbf{d}_{\perp}^{\mathrm{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{\parallel} \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1)$*,* $\mathbf{D}_{\parallel} \in \mathfrak{s}(n-1,\mathbb{C})$*,* $\mathbf{c}_{\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{\perp} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$*,* $\mathrm{c}_{\parallel}, \mathrm{c}_{\perp} \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$*,* $\mathrm{d}_{\parallel}, \mathrm{d}_{\perp} \in \mathbb{C}$*.*
3. $\dim \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} = 1$*,* $\dim \mathfrak{m}_{\perp} = \dim \mathfrak{h}_{\perp} = 2n+1$*,* $\dim \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel} = 2(n-1)^2 + n + 2$*.*
4. The linear operator $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})$ *acts on* $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$ *by*

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})((\mathrm{a}_{\perp}, \mathrm{b}_{\perp}), (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}, \mathbf{b}_{\perp})) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}((2\mathrm{a}_{\perp}, 2\mathrm{b}_{\perp}), (-\mathbf{a}_{\perp}, -\mathbf{b}_{\perp})) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \tag{3.57a}$$
$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})((\mathrm{c}_{\perp}, \mathrm{d}_{\perp}), (\mathbf{c}_{\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{\perp})) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}((-2\mathrm{c}_{\perp}, -2\mathrm{d}_{\perp}), (\mathbf{c}_{\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{\perp})) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}. \tag{3.57b}$$

We use the inner products (3.18)–(3.20) and outer products (3.23)–(3.25) to write out the explicit Lie bracket relations on $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}$.

Proposition 3.7.
1. The Lie brackets (2.14)*–*(2.16) *are given by*

$$[(\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}), (\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel})] = 0 \in \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \tag{3.58a}$$
$$[((\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}, \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}), (\mathbf{C}_{1\parallel}, \mathbf{D}_{1\parallel})), (\mathrm{a}_{2\parallel})] = 0 \in \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \tag{3.58b}$$
$$\begin{aligned} &[((\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}, \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}), (\mathbf{C}_{1\parallel}, \mathbf{D}_{1\parallel})), ((\mathrm{c}_{2\parallel}, \mathrm{d}_{2\parallel}), (\mathbf{C}_{2\parallel}, \mathbf{D}_{2\parallel}))] \\ &= \big((\mathrm{d}_{2\parallel}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{1\parallel} - \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{2\parallel}, \mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{d}_{2\parallel} + \mathrm{d}_{2\parallel}\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel} - \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{c}_{2\parallel} - \mathrm{c}_{2\parallel}\mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}), \\ &\qquad ([\mathbf{C}_{1\parallel}, \mathbf{C}_{2\parallel}] + \mathbf{D}_{2\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{1\parallel} - \mathbf{D}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{2\parallel}, \mathbf{C}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{D}_{2\parallel} - \mathbf{D}_{2\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{1\parallel} + \mathbf{D}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{2\parallel} - \mathbf{C}_{2\parallel}\mathbf{D}_{1\parallel})\big) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.58c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &[((\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}, \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}), (\mathbf{C}_{1\parallel}, \mathbf{D}_{1\parallel})), ((\mathrm{a}_{2\perp}, \mathrm{b}_{2\perp}), (\mathbf{a}_{2\perp}, \mathbf{b}_{2\perp})] \\ &= \big((-\mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathrm{b}}_{2\perp} + \mathrm{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{1\parallel}, \mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{b}_{2\perp} + \mathrm{b}_{2\perp}\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel} - \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{a}_{2\perp} - \mathrm{a}_{2\perp}\mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}), \\ &\qquad (\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{a}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{a}_{2\perp}\mathbf{C}_{1\parallel} - \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{b}}_{2\perp} + \mathbf{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{1\parallel}, \mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{b}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{1\parallel} + \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{a}_{2\perp}\mathbf{D}_{1\parallel})\big) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.59a}$$
$$\begin{aligned} &[((\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}, \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}), (\mathbf{C}_{1\parallel}, \mathbf{D}_{1\parallel})), ((\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}, \mathrm{d}_{2\perp}), (\mathbf{c}_{2\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{2\perp})] \\ &= \big((-\mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{2\perp} + \mathrm{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{1\parallel}, \mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{d}_{2\perp} + \mathrm{d}_{2\perp}\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel} - \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{c}_{2\perp} - \mathrm{c}_{2\perp}\mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}), \\ &\qquad (\mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{c}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{C}_{1\parallel} - \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{2\perp} + \mathbf{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{1\parallel}, \mathrm{c}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{d}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{1\parallel} + \mathrm{d}_{1\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{2\perp} - \mathbf{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{D}_{1\parallel})\big) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.59b}$$

$$[(\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}), ((\mathrm{a}_{2\perp}, \mathrm{b}_{2\perp}), (\mathbf{a}_{2\perp}, \mathbf{b}_{2\perp}))] = ((2\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{a}_{2\perp}, 2\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{b}_{2\perp}), (-\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{a}_{2\perp}, -\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \tag{3.60a}$$
$$[(\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}), ((\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}, \mathrm{d}_{2\perp}), (\mathbf{c}_{2\perp}, \mathbf{d}_{2\perp}))] = ((-2\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}, -2\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}), (\mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{c}_{2\perp}, \mathrm{a}_{1\parallel}\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}. \tag{3.60b}$$

2. *The remaining Lie brackets* (2.17) *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[((\mathrm{a}_{1\perp},\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp})),((\mathrm{a}_{2\perp},\mathrm{b}_{2\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp}))]_{\mathfrak{h}_\parallel}\\
&=\big((-\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp})-\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})-\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathrm{b}}_{2\perp}+\mathrm{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathrm{b}}_{1\perp},\\
&\qquad\qquad 2\mathrm{a}_{1\perp}\mathrm{b}_{2\perp}-2\mathrm{a}_{2\perp}\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}+\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})-\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp})),\\
&\qquad(-\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp})+\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})^{\mathrm{t}},-\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{b}_{2\perp},\mathbf{a}_{1\perp})+\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp}))\big)\in\mathfrak{h}_\parallel, \qquad (3.61\mathrm{a})\\
&[((\mathrm{a}_{1\perp},\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp})),((\mathrm{a}_{2\perp},\mathrm{b}_{2\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp}))]_{\mathfrak{h}_\perp}\\
&=\big((-\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp})-\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp}),\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})-\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp})),\\
&\qquad(\mathrm{a}_{1\perp}\mathbf{a}_{2\perp}-\mathrm{a}_{2\perp}\mathbf{a}_{1\perp}-\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathbf{b}}_{2\perp}+\mathrm{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{b}}_{1\perp},\mathrm{a}_{1\perp}\mathbf{b}_{2\perp}-\mathrm{b}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1\perp}+\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{2\perp}-\mathrm{a}_{2\perp}\mathbf{b}_{1\perp})\big)\in\mathfrak{h}_\perp,\\
&\qquad (3.61\mathrm{b})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[((\mathrm{c}_{1\perp},\mathrm{d}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{1\perp})),((\mathrm{c}_{2\perp},\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}),(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp}))]_{\mathfrak{h}_\parallel}\\
&=\big((-\mathrm{d}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{2\perp}+\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{1\perp}-\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})-\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp}),\\
&\qquad\qquad 2\mathrm{c}_{1\perp}\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}-2\mathrm{d}_{1\perp}\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}+\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})-\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})),\\
&\qquad(-\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})+\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})^{\mathrm{t}},-\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{d}_{2\perp},\mathbf{c}_{1\perp})+\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp}))\big)\in\mathfrak{h}_\parallel, \qquad (3.62\mathrm{a})\\
&[((\mathrm{c}_{1\perp},\mathrm{d}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{1\perp})),((\mathrm{c}_{2\perp},\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}),(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp}))]_{\mathfrak{h}_\perp}\\
&=\big((\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})+\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp}),-\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{c}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})+\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{d}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})),\\
&\qquad(-\mathrm{c}_{1\perp}\mathbf{c}_{2\perp}+\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{c}_{1\perp}+\mathrm{d}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{2\perp}-\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{1\perp},-\mathrm{c}_{1\perp}\mathbf{d}_{2\perp}+\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{1\perp}-\mathrm{d}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{2\perp}+\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{d}_{1\perp})\big)\in\mathfrak{h}_\perp,\\
&\qquad (3.62\mathrm{b})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[((\mathrm{a}_{1\perp},\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp})),((\mathrm{c}_{2\perp},\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}),(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp}))]_{\mathfrak{m}_\parallel}\\
&=\big(\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{2\perp}+\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathrm{b}}_{1\perp}-\tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})-2\mathrm{a}_{1\perp}\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}-\tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})\big)\in\mathfrak{m}_\parallel, \qquad (3.63\mathrm{a})\\
&[((\mathrm{a}_{1\perp},\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp})),((\mathrm{c}_{2\perp},\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}),(\mathbf{c}_{2\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp}))]_{\mathfrak{m}_\perp}\\
&=\big((-\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})-\tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp}),\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{d}_{2\perp})-\tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{c}_{2\perp})),\\
&\qquad(\mathrm{a}_{1\perp}\mathbf{c}_{2\perp}-\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{a}_{1\perp}-\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathbf{d}}_{2\perp}+\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{b}}_{1\perp},\mathrm{a}_{1\perp}\mathbf{d}_{2\perp}-\mathrm{d}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1\perp}+\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}\overline{\mathbf{c}}_{2\perp}-\mathrm{c}_{2\perp}\mathbf{b}_{1\perp})\big)\in\mathfrak{m}_\perp.\\
&\qquad (3.63\mathrm{b})
\end{aligned}$$

3. *The Cartan-Killing form on* $\mathfrak{m}_\perp$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle((\mathrm{a}_{1\perp},\mathrm{b}_{1\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{1\perp})),((\mathrm{a}_{2\perp},\mathrm{b}_{2\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{2\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp}))\rangle\\
&=-4(n+2)\big(Q(\mathrm{a}_{1\perp},\mathrm{a}_{2\perp})+Q(\mathbf{a}_{1\perp},\mathbf{a}_{2\perp})+Q(\mathrm{b}_{1\perp},\mathrm{b}_{2\perp})+Q(\mathbf{b}_{1\perp},\mathbf{b}_{2\perp})\big). \qquad (3.64)
\end{aligned}$$

The adjoint action of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_\parallel\subset\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ on $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ generates the linear transformation group $H^*_\parallel\subset H^*=\mathrm{Ad}(H)$ that preserves the element e in the Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{sp}(n+1)/\mathfrak{sp}(1)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(n)$. This group $H^*_\parallel$ can be identified with the adjoint action of a symplectic group $Sp(1)\times Sp(n-1)\subset Sp(1)\times Sp(n)$ whose matrix representation is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & D\\ -\overline{D} & \overline{C}\end{pmatrix}\in Sp(1)\times Sp(n-1)\simeq H^*_\parallel,\quad C=\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & 0\\ 0 & c & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \boldsymbol{C}\end{pmatrix},\quad D=\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 & 0\\ 0 & d & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \boldsymbol{D}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.65)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\boldsymbol{C}} + \boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\boldsymbol{D}} = I_{n-1}, \quad \boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\boldsymbol{D}} - \overline{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathrm{t}}\boldsymbol{C} = 0, \tag{3.66}$$

$$c\bar{c} + d\bar{d} = 1. \tag{3.67}$$

In particular, the subgroup $Sp(n-1) \subset H^*_{\parallel}$ acts on $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ by right multiplication,

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\boldsymbol{C},\boldsymbol{D})((\mathrm{a}_{\perp},\mathrm{b}_{\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{\perp},\mathbf{b}_{\perp})) = ((\mathrm{a}_{\perp},\mathrm{b}_{\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{\perp}\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}^{\mathrm{t}} - \mathbf{b}_{\perp}\boldsymbol{D}^{\mathrm{t}}, \mathbf{a}_{\perp}\overline{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{b}_{\perp}\boldsymbol{C}^{\mathrm{t}})) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \tag{3.68}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{C},\boldsymbol{D}) \in Sp(n-1)$ is defined to be the matrix (3.65) with $c = 1$ and $d = 0$, while the subgroup $Sp(1) \subset H^*_{\parallel}$ has a non-standard action on $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ given by

$$\mathrm{Ad}(c,d)((\mathrm{a}_{\perp},\mathrm{b}_{\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{\perp},\mathbf{b}_{\perp})) =$$
$$((c\mathrm{a}_{\perp}\bar{c} - d\bar{\mathrm{b}}_{\perp}\bar{c} - c\mathrm{b}_{\perp}d + d\mathrm{a}_{\perp}d, c\mathrm{a}_{\perp}\bar{d} - d\bar{\mathrm{b}}_{\perp}\bar{d} + c\mathrm{b}_{\perp}c - d\mathrm{a}_{\perp}c),(c\mathbf{a}_{\perp} - d\overline{\mathbf{b}}_{\perp}, c\mathbf{b}_{\perp} + d\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{\perp})) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp},$$

where $(c,d) \in Sp(1)$ is defined to be the matrix (3.65) with $\boldsymbol{C} = I_{n-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{D} = 0$. Composition of these subgroups (3.68) and (3.69) yields the group $H^*_{\parallel} = \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(1) \times Sp(n))$.

Proposition 3.8. *The vector space* $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp} \simeq \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ *is a reducible representation of the group* $H^*_{\parallel}$ *such that the linear map* $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})^2$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})^2((\mathrm{a}_{\perp},\mathrm{b}_{\perp}),(\mathbf{a}_{\perp},\mathbf{b}_{\perp})) = \frac{1}{\chi}((-4\mathrm{a}_{\perp},-4\mathrm{b}_{\perp}),(-\mathbf{a}_{\perp},-\mathbf{b}_{\perp})). \tag{3.69}$$

The irreducible subspaces in this representation consist of $((\mathrm{a}_{\perp},\mathrm{b}_{\perp}),(0,0)) \simeq \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ *and* $((0,0),(\mathbf{a}_{\perp},\mathbf{b}_{\perp})) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ *on which* $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{e})^2$ *is a multiple of the identity with respective eigenvalues* $-4/\chi$ *and* $-1/\chi$*.*

4. Bi-Hamiltonian soliton equations in $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$

Let $\gamma(t,x)$ be any non-stretching curve flow in $M = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$. Employing the notation and preliminaries in Sec. 2 and Sec. 3.1, we introduce a $Sp(n)$-parallel framing along γ as expressed in terms of the variables

$$e_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}(-\mathrm{i}I_{n-1},0) \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n-1,\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \quad \chi = 8(n-1)n^2, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\omega_x = (\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$h_{\parallel} = (\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel},\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel}) \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n-1,\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$h_{\perp} = (\mathbf{h}_{1\perp},\mathbf{h}_{2\perp}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\varpi^{\parallel} = ((\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel},\mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}),(\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel},\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel})) \in \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n-1) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\varpi^{\perp} = (\mathbf{w}^{1\perp},\mathbf{w}^{2\perp}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \tag{4.6}$$

using the matrix identifications (3.15)–(3.16), where $\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel} \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ is an imaginary (complex) scalar variable, $\mathrm{w}^{2\parallel} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex scalar variable, $\mathbf{u}_1$, $\mathbf{u}_2$, $\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{w}^{2\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}_{2\perp} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ are complex vector variables, $\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel}$, $\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel} \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1)$ are anti-Hermitian matrix variables, $\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel} \in \mathfrak{s}(n-1,\mathbb{C})$ is a complex symmetric matrix variable, and $\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel} \in \mathfrak{so}(n-1,\mathbb{C})$ is a complex anti-symmetric matrix variable. For later use, through property (3.17) we also introduce the variable

$$h^{\perp} = (\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}) = \mathrm{ad}(e_x)h_{\perp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}_{2\perp}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \quad \rho = 8(n-1), \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ are complex vector variables.

Up to the rigid (x-independent) action of the equivalence group $H^*_{\parallel} = \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(n))$, a $Sp(n)$-parallel linear coframe e along γ is then determined by the variables (4.1) and (4.2) via the transport equation

$$\nabla_x e = -\mathrm{ad}(\omega_x)e \tag{4.8}$$

together with the soldering relation

$$e \rfloor \gamma_x = e_x. \tag{4.9}$$

The resulting coframe e defines an isomorphism between $T_\gamma M$ and $\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n-1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, which yields a correspondence between the set of frames for $T_\gamma M$ and the set of basis vectors for $\mathfrak{m}$, as follows. Let $e_{\parallel}$ and $e_{\perp}$ be the respective projections of e into $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ given in terms of the matrix identifications (3.15)–(3.16) by

$$e_{\parallel} = (\mathbf{A}_{\parallel}(\cdot), \mathbf{B}_{\parallel}(\cdot)) \tag{4.10}$$

$$e_{\perp} = (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(\cdot), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(\cdot)) \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{\parallel}(\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\parallel}(\cdot)$ are linear maps from $T_x M$ into $\mathfrak{u}(n-1)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$ respectively, and where both $\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\perp}(\cdot)$ are linear maps from $T_x M$ into $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Let $(T_\gamma M)_{\parallel}$ and $(T_\gamma M)_{\perp}$ be the orthogonal subspaces of $T_\gamma M$ respectively defined by the kernels of $e_{\parallel}$ and $e_{\perp}$, so thus

$$e_{\parallel} \rfloor (T_\gamma M)_{\perp} = e_{\perp} \rfloor (T_\gamma M)_{\parallel} = 0$$

and hence

$$e \rfloor (T_\gamma M)_{\parallel} = e_{\parallel} \rfloor T_\gamma M = \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} \simeq \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n-1, \mathbb{C}), \tag{4.12}$$

$$e \rfloor (T_\gamma M)_{\perp} = e_{\perp} \rfloor T_\gamma M = \mathfrak{m}_{\perp} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}. \tag{4.13}$$

Note that, in this notation,

$$e_{\parallel} \rfloor \gamma_x = e_x, \quad e_{\perp} \rfloor \gamma_x = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

$$e_{\parallel} \rfloor \gamma_t = h_{\parallel}, \quad e_{\perp} \rfloor \gamma_t = h_{\perp}. \tag{4.15}$$

Now if $\{\mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{u}}\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)^2$, is a matrix basis for $\mathfrak{u}(n-1)$ viewed as a real vector space, and $\{\mathbf{M}^{(j)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{so}}\}$, $j = 1, \ldots, (n-1)(n-2)$, is a matrix basis for $\mathfrak{so}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$ viewed as a real vector space, then $e_{\parallel}$ determines a corresponding basis $\{X^{(i)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{u}}, X^{(j)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{so}}\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)^2$ and $j = 1, \ldots, (n-1)(n-2)$, for the vector space $(T_\gamma M)_{\parallel}$ given by

$$(\mathbf{A}_{\parallel}(X^{(i)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{u}}), \mathbf{B}_{\parallel}(X^{(i)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{u}})) = (\mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{u}}, 0), \quad (\mathbf{A}_{\parallel}(X^{(j)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{so}}), \mathbf{B}_{\parallel}(X^{(j)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{so}})) = (0, \mathbf{M}^{(j)}_{\parallel\mathfrak{so}}).$$

Similarly if $\{\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\}$, $k = 1, \ldots, 2(n-1)$, is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ viewed as a real vector space, then $e_{\perp}$ determines a corresponding basis $\{X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}\}$, $k, k' = 1, \ldots, 2(n-1)$, for the vector space $(T_\gamma M)_{\perp}$ given by

$$(\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})) = (\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, 0), \quad (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'})) = (0, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}).$$

In addition, if each basis $\{\mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}\}$, $\{\mathbf{M}^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}\}$, $\{\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\}$ is normalized such that

$$\langle \mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}, \mathbf{M}^{(i')}_{\|\mathfrak{u}} \rangle = -\delta_{ii'}, \quad \langle \mathbf{M}^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}, \mathbf{M}^{(j')}_{\|\mathfrak{so}} \rangle = -\delta_{jj'}, \quad \langle \mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} \rangle = -\delta_{kk'},$$

then the basis for $T_\gamma M = (T_\gamma M)_\| \oplus (T_\gamma M)_\perp$ has the corresponding normalization

$$g(X^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}, X^{(i')}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}) = \delta_{ii'}, \quad g(X^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}, X^{(j')}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}) = \delta_{jj'}, \quad g(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) = g(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}, X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}) = \delta_{kk'}.$$

Consequently, the resulting orthonormal frame

$$\{X^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}, X^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}, X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}\} \tag{4.16}$$

can be shown to satisfy the Frenet equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x X^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}} &= \sum_k U^{(i,k)}_{\mathfrak{u},\mathbb{C}} X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{k'} U^{(i,k')}_{\mathfrak{u},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'} \\
\nabla_x X^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}} &= \sum_k U^{(j,k)}_{\mathfrak{so},\mathbb{C}} X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{k'} U^{(j,k')}_{\mathfrak{so},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'} \\
\nabla_x X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} &= -\sum_i U^{(i,k)}_{\mathfrak{u},\mathbb{C}} X^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}} - \sum_j U^{(j,k)}_{\mathfrak{so},\mathbb{C}} X^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}} \\
\nabla_x X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'} &= -\sum_i U^{(i,k')}_{\mathfrak{u},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}} - \sum_j U^{(j,k')}_{\mathfrak{so},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

obtained from the transport equation (4.8) combined with the Lie brackets (3.30b) and (3.31c), where

$$\begin{aligned}
U^{(i,k)}_{\mathfrak{u},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [(\mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}, 0), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)], (\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, 0) \rangle = 8nQ((\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}})\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}, \mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U^{(i,k')}_{\mathfrak{u},\mathbb{C}'} &= \langle [(\mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}, 0), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)], (0, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \rangle = 8nQ((\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{M}^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}})\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_2 \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{(i)}_{\|\mathfrak{u}}, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U^{(j,k)}_{\mathfrak{so},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [(0, \mathbf{M}^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)], (\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, 0) \rangle = 8nQ(\mathbf{u}_2 \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}, \mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U^{(j,k')}_{\mathfrak{so},\mathbb{C}'} &= \langle [(0, \mathbf{M}^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)], (0, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \rangle = 8nQ(\mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{M}^{(j)}_{\|\mathfrak{so}}, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

denote the Cartan matrix components of the underlying $Sp(n)$-parallel linear connection (4.2) projected into the tangent space of the curve.

The geometrical meaning of this linear connection is seen through looking at the frame components of the principal normal vector

$$N := \nabla_x X = \langle e^*, \mathrm{ad}(e_x)\omega_x \rangle \tag{4.19}$$

given by

$$e \rfloor N = -\mathrm{ad}(e_x)\omega_x = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{m}_\perp, \quad \rho = 8(n-1) \tag{4.20}$$

again using the relation (3.17). These components $(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2)$ are invariantly defined by the curve γ up to the rigid (x-independent) action of the equivalence group $H^*_\| = \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(n))$ that preserves the framing at each point x. Hence, in geometrical terms, the complex vector pair $(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2)$ describes a *covariant* of the curve γ relative to the group $H^*_\|$. Moreover, x-derivatives of the pair $(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2)$ describe *differential covariants* of γ relative to $H^*_\|$, which arise geometrically from the frame components of x-derivatives of the principal normal vector N. We thus note that the geometric invariants of γ as defined by Riemannian inner products of the tangent vector $X = \gamma_x$ and its derivatives $N = \nabla_x\gamma_x, \nabla_x N = \nabla_x^2\gamma_x$, etc. along the curve γ can be expressed as scalars formed from Cartan-Killing inner products of the covariant $(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2)$ and differential covariants $(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_{2\,x})$, $(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_{1\,xx}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_{2\,xx})$, etc.; for example

$$g(N,N) = -g(X, \nabla_x^2 X) = \frac{2n}{n-1}(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2)$$

yields the square of the classical curvature invariant of the curve γ. In particular, the set of invariants given by $\{g(X, \nabla_x^{2l}X)\}$, $l = 1, \ldots, 2n^2 - n - 2 (= \dim \mathfrak{m} - 1)$, generates the components of the connection matrix of a classical Frenet frame [20] determined by γ_x.

4.1. **Hamiltonian operators and flows.** The Cartan structure equations (2.10) and (2.11) for the $Sp(n)$-parallel framing of γ expressed in terms of the variables (4.1)–(4.6) are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\mathbf{w}^{1\perp} &= D_x\mathbf{h}_{1\perp} + (\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel})\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel} + \mathbf{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{2\parallel},\\
\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\mathbf{w}^{2\perp} &= D_x\mathbf{h}_{2\perp} + (\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel})\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{1\parallel} + \mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_x\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel} &= \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{h}_{1\perp}) - \overline{\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{h}_{2\perp})},\\
D_x\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel} &= \boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{h}_{1\perp}) + \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{h}_{2\perp})},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_{1\,t} &= D_x\mathbf{w}^{1\perp} - \mathrm{w}^{1\parallel}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 + \mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel} - \mathbf{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{W}}^{2\parallel} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\mathbf{h}_{1\perp},\\
\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} &= D_x\mathbf{w}^{2\perp} - \mathrm{w}^{1\parallel}\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{W}}^{1\parallel} + \mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\mathbf{h}_{2\perp},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_x\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel} &= P(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{w}^{1\perp}) + P(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{w}^{2\perp}),\\
D_x\mathrm{w}^{2\parallel} &= -S(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{w}^{2\perp}) + S(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{w}^{1\perp}),\\
D_x\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel} &= \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{w}^{1\perp}) - \overline{\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{w}^{2\perp})},\\
D_x\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel} &= \overline{\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{w}^{2\perp})} - \overline{\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{w}^{1\perp})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

As stated by Theorem 2.1, these equations (4.21)–(4.7) directly encode a pair of compatible Hamiltonian operators. To display the operators explicitly, we first define the following operator notations in terms of the inner products (3.18)–(3.20) and outer products (3.23)–(3.25). For $\mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y} &:= P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R},\\
Q_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y} &:= Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R},\\
S_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y} &:= S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{C},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y} &:= \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1),\\
\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y} &:= \boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathfrak{so}(n-1, \mathbb{C}),\\
\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{y} &:= \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathfrak{s}(n-1, \mathbb{C}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$R_{\mathbf{y}}\mathrm{x} := \mathrm{x}\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \tag{4.27}$$

$$L_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{X} := \mathbf{y}\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \tag{4.28}$$

$$\mathcal{C}\mathbf{X} := \overline{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}. \tag{4.29}$$

Next we eliminate $\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel}$, $\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel}$ through the torsion equation (4.22), and also eliminate $\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel}$, $\mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}$, $\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel}$, $\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel}$ through the curvature equation (4.24). We also replace $\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}_{2\perp}$ respectively in terms of $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}$, which leads to the following main result.

Theorem 4.1. *The flow equations given by* (4.21)–(4.7) *for the pair of complex vector variables* $\mathbf{u}_1(t,x), \mathbf{u}_2(t,x) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ *have the operator form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{H}\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{w}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{w}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix} = 8(n-1)\mathcal{J}\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} D_x - R_{\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}P_{\mathbf{u}_1} + R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2}D_x^{-1}S_{\mathbf{u}_2} \\ \quad + L_{\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{u}_1} + L_{\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{u}_2} \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} -R_{\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}P_{\mathbf{u}_2} - R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2}D_x^{-1}S_{\mathbf{u}_1} \\ \quad - L_{\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}\mathcal{C}\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{u}_2} - L_{\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{u}_1} \end{matrix} \\ \\ \begin{matrix} -R_{\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}P_{\mathbf{u}_1} - R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1}D_x^{-1}S_{\mathbf{u}_2} \\ \quad + L_{\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}\mathcal{C}\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{u}_1} - L_{\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}\mathcal{C}\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{u}_2} \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} D_x - R_{\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}P_{\mathbf{u}_2} + R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1}D_x^{-1}S_{\mathbf{u}_1} \\ \quad - L_{\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{u}_2} + L_{\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}\mathcal{C}\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{u}_1} \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.31}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} D_x + R_{\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}Q_{\mathbf{u}_1} + L_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}\mathcal{C}\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2} \\ \quad + L_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1} \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} R_{\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}Q_{\mathbf{u}_2} + L_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1} \\ \quad - L_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}\mathcal{C}\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2} \end{matrix} \\ \\ \begin{matrix} R_{\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}Q_{\mathbf{u}_1} + L_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2} \\ \quad - L_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}\mathcal{C}\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1} \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} D_x + R_{\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}Q_{\mathbf{u}_2} + L_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1}D_x^{-1}\mathcal{C}\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1} \\ \quad + L_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2}D_x^{-1}\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2} \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.32}$$

are compatible Hamiltonian cosymplectic and symplectic operators on the x-jet space of $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$.

We now explain some details about this Hamiltonian structure. Let J^∞ denote the x-jet space of the variables $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$, and let subscripts $l, l' = 1, 2$ denote the 2×2 components of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{J}$.

Associated to the operator $\mathcal{H}$ is the Poisson bracket

$$\{\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2\}_{\mathcal{H}} := \int \sum_{\substack{l=1,2 \\ l'=1,2}} Q(\delta\mathfrak{H}_1/\delta\mathbf{u}_l, \mathcal{H}_{ll'}(\delta\mathfrak{H}_2/\delta\mathbf{u}_{l'}))dx \tag{4.33}$$

where $\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2$ are real-valued functionals on J^∞. The cosymplectic property of $\mathcal{H}$ means that this bracket is skew-symmetric

$$\{\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2\}_{\mathcal{H}} + \{\mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{H}_1\}_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{4.34}$$

and obeys the Jacobi identity

$$\{\mathfrak{H}_1, \{\mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{H}_3\}_{\mathcal{H}}\}_{\mathcal{H}} + \text{ cyclic} = 0. \tag{4.35}$$

A dual of the Poisson bracket is the symplectic 2-form associated to the operator $\mathcal{J}$,

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathrm{X}_1,\mathrm{X}_2)_{\mathcal{J}} := \int \sum_{\substack{l=1,2\\ l'=1,2}} Q(\mathrm{X}_1\mathbf{u}_l, \mathcal{J}_{ll'}(\mathrm{X}_2\mathbf{u}_{l'}))dx \tag{4.36}$$

where $\mathrm{X}_1,\mathrm{X}_2$ are vector fields $\mathrm{X} = \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}\cdot\partial/\partial\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}\cdot\partial/\partial\mathbf{u}_2$ defined in terms of vector function pairs $(\mathbf{h}^{1\perp},\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ on J^∞ (with "$\cdot$" standing for summation with respect to vector components). The symplectic property of $\mathcal{J}$ corresponds to $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ being skew-symmetric

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathrm{X}_1,\mathrm{X}_2) + \boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathrm{X}_2,\mathrm{X}_1) = 0 \tag{4.37}$$

and closed

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{pr}(\mathrm{X}_1)\boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathrm{X}_2,\mathrm{X}_3) + \text{ cyclic}\\ &= \int \sum_{\substack{l=1,2\\ l'=1,2}} Q(\mathbf{h}_2^{l\perp}, \mathrm{pr}(\sum_{l''=1,2}\mathbf{h}_1^{l''\perp}\cdot\partial/\partial\mathbf{u}_{l''})\mathcal{J}_{ll'}(\mathbf{h}_3^{l\perp}))dx + \text{ cyclic} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Compatibility of the operators $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is the statement that every linear combination $c_1\mathcal{H} + c_2\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ is a cosymplectic Hamiltonian operator, or equivalently that $c_1\mathcal{H}^{-1} + c_2\mathcal{J}$ is a symplectic operator, where $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ denote formal inverse operators defined on J^∞.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 4.2. *The operator $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{J}$ generates a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian flows* (4.30) *on $(\mathbf{u}_1(t,x),\mathbf{u}_2(t,x))$, given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_{(k)}\\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_{(k)}\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}^k\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\\ \mathbf{u}_{2\,x}\end{pmatrix}, \quad k=0,1,2,\ldots \tag{4.39}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}_{(k)}\\ \mathbf{w}^{2\perp}_{(k)}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\delta H^{(k)}/\delta\mathbf{u}_1\\ \delta H^{(k)}/\delta\mathbf{u}_2\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}^{*k}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{u}_1\\ \mathbf{u}_2\end{pmatrix}, \quad k=0,1,2,\ldots \tag{4.40}$$

in terms of the Hamiltonians

$$H^{(k)} = \frac{1}{1+2k}\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{H}^{(k)}_{1\parallel}), \quad k=0,1,2,\ldots \tag{4.41}$$

with

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{H}^{(k)}_{1\parallel}) = D_x^{-1}\big(A(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_{(k)}) + A(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_{(k)})\big), \tag{4.42}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}$ is the adjoint of $\mathcal{R}$.

The $+k$ flow in this hierarchy (4.39) is scaling invariant under $(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2) \to \lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2)$, $x \to \lambda x$, $t \to \lambda^{1+2k}t$.

4.2. **mKdV flow.** After a scaling of $t \to t/\rho$, where $\rho = 8(n-1)$, the $+1$ flow in the hierarchy (4.39) yields an integrable system of coupled vector mKdV equations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_{1\,t} - \rho^{-1}\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} &= \mathbf{u}_{1\,xxx} + 3(\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2)\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} + 3(\mathbf{u}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} - \mathbf{u}_{2\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1)\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2\\ &\quad + 3(\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathbf{u}_{2\,x}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2)\mathbf{u}_1\\ \mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - \rho^{-1}\mathbf{u}_{2\,x} &= \mathbf{u}_{2\,xxx} + 3(\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_2)\mathbf{u}_{2\,x} - 3(\mathbf{u}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} - \mathbf{u}_{2\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1)\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1\\ &\quad + 3(\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathbf{u}_{2\,x}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2)\mathbf{u}_2\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

where a dot denotes the standard Euclidean inner product (cf (3.21)–(3.22)). This system is invariant under the symplectic group $Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)$, defined by the transformations (3.36)–(3.37) on the vector pair $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$, and has the following bi-Hamiltonian structure

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix}_t - \rho^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = \mathcal{H} \begin{pmatrix} \delta H^{(1)}/\delta \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \delta H^{(1)}/\delta \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{E} \begin{pmatrix} \delta H^{(0)}/\delta \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \delta H^{(0)}/\delta \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.44}$$

in terms of the Hamiltonians

$$H^{(0)} = \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \tag{4.45}$$

$$H^{(1)} = -\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,x} - \mathbf{u}_{2\,x} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,x} + (\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2)^2, \tag{4.46}$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}$ is a Hamiltonian cosymplectic operator compatible with $\mathcal{H}$.

We remark that the convective terms $\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}$, $\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}$ on the left-hand side in the system (4.43) and (4.44) can be removed by the Galilean transformation $t \to t$, $x \to x + \rho^{-1}t$.

4.3. **SG flow.** The -1 flow connected with the hierarchy (4.39) is defined by

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{w}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{J} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.47}$$

yielding the flow equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.48}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\rho} D_x \mathbf{h}^{1\perp} &= (\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel})\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{H}_{1\parallel} + \mathbf{u}_2 \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{2\parallel}, \\ \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\rho} D_x \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} &= (\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel})\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_2 \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{1\parallel} + \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{H}_{2\parallel}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_x \mathbf{H}_{1\parallel} &= \sqrt{\rho}\big(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{u}_1) + \boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}, \mathbf{u}_2)^{\mathrm{t}}\big), \\ D_x \mathbf{H}_{2\parallel} &= \sqrt{\rho}\big(-\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}) + \boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}, \mathbf{u}_1)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

Note that equations (4.49)–(4.50) will determine the variables $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}$, $\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel}$, $\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel}$ as nonlocal functions of $\mathbf{u}_1$, $\mathbf{u}_2$. Similarly to the method used to derive the SG flow in the case $SU(n)/SO(n)$ [11], we will seek inverse local expressions for $\mathbf{u}_1$ and $\mathbf{u}_2$ arising from an algebraic reduction of the form

$$\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel} = \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha\big(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}) + \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}^{2\perp})^{\mathrm{t}}\big) + \beta \mathrm{i} I_{n-1} \tag{4.51}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel} = \gamma \boldsymbol{Q}(\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}) \tag{4.52}$$

for some expressions $\alpha(\mathrm{h}_\parallel), \beta(\mathrm{h}_\parallel), \gamma(\mathrm{h}_\parallel) \in \mathbb{R}$, where it is convenient to introduce the variable

$$\mathrm{h}_\parallel := -\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{tr}\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel} \tag{4.53}$$

satisfying

$$D_x \mathrm{h}_\parallel = -\sqrt{\rho}\big(Q(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}) + Q(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp})\big). \tag{4.54}$$

To proceed, we substitute expressions (4.51) and (4.52) into equation (4.50) and use equations (4.49) and (4.54) to eliminate x derivatives. This yields

$$\gamma - \alpha = 0 \tag{4.55}$$

$$D_x\beta = 0 \tag{4.56}$$

$$D_x\alpha + (\alpha^2/\sqrt{\rho})\big(Q(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}) + Q(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp})\big) = 0 \tag{4.57}$$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\big(Q(\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}) + Q(\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp})\big)\alpha^2 + n\beta\alpha + \rho = 0 \tag{4.58}$$

By applying D_x to equation (4.58) and using equation (4.56) together with equation (4.49), we obtain equation (4.57). Therefore, we can just algebraically solve equation (4.58) to get

$$\alpha = \gamma = \frac{-n\beta \pm \sqrt{n^2\beta^2 - 4\rho(|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2)}}{2(|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2)} \tag{4.59}$$

where

$$|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 := \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}), \quad |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2 := \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}). \tag{4.60}$$

To determine β we use the conservation law

$$0 = D_x\big(|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho}(\mathrm{h}_\parallel^2 + |\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel}|^2 + |\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel}|^2)\big) \tag{4.61}$$

admitted by the system of equations (4.49), (4.50), (4.54), where

$$\mathrm{h}_\parallel = \alpha(|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2) + (n-1)\beta \tag{4.62}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel}|^2 := -\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel}^2) &= \alpha^2(|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^4 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^4 + \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp})S(\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp}, \overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp})) \\ &\quad + 2\alpha\beta(|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2) + \beta^2(n-1) \\ |\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel}|^2 := -\mathrm{tr}(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{2\parallel}\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel}) &= \alpha^2(|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2|\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp})S(\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp}, \overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp})) \end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

are obtained from equations (4.51)–(4.62). Substitution of the expressions (4.62) and (4.63) into the conservation law (4.61), followed by use of the algebraic equation (4.58), gives

$$|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho}\big(\mathrm{h}_\parallel^2 + |\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel}|^2 + |\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel}|^2\big) = \tfrac{1}{2}n(n-1)\beta^2/\rho = n(\beta/4)^2. \tag{4.64}$$

Through equations (4.64) and (4.61), we see that a conformal scaling of t can be used to make β equal to a constant. We will put

$$\beta = -2\sqrt{\rho}/n \tag{4.65}$$

which simplifies the expression (4.59) for α and γ,

$$\alpha = \gamma = \sqrt{\rho}\,\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 - |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2}}{|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2}. \tag{4.66}$$

Local expressions for $\mathbf{u}_1$ and $\mathbf{u}_2$ now arise directly from substitution of expressions (4.51), (4.52), (4.62) into equation (4.49) to get

$$\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_x = -\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\alpha}\mathbf{u}_1 + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}}(S(\mathbf{u}_1, \overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp}) + S(\mathbf{u}_2, \overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp}))\mathbf{h}^{1\perp} + \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\rho}}(S(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}) - S(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}))\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp}, \tag{4.67}$$

$$\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_x = -\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\alpha}\mathbf{u}_2 + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}}(S(\mathbf{u}_1, \overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp}) + S(\mathbf{u}_2, \overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp}))\mathbf{h}^{2\perp} - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\rho}}(S(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}) - S(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}))\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp}\big). \tag{4.68}$$

Algebraically combining equations (4.67) and (4.68), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_1 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}}\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_x + \frac{\alpha^2}{\rho}(a\mathbf{h}^{1\perp} + b\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp}),\\ \mathbf{u}_2 &= -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}}\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_x + \frac{\alpha^2}{\rho}(a\mathbf{h}^{2\perp} - b\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

where, after using expression (4.66), we have

$$a = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp}\cdot\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_x + \overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp}\cdot\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_x}{\pm 2\sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 - |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2}}, \quad b = \frac{\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}\cdot\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_x - \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}\cdot\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_x}{\pm 2\sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 - |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2}}, \tag{4.70}$$

with a dot denoting the standard Euclidean inner product (cf (3.21)–(3.22)).

Finally, we express the flow equation (4.48) entirely in terms of $\mathbf{u}_1$, $\mathbf{u}_2$, and their t derivatives. Substitution of $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp} = \mathbf{u}_{1\,t}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp} = \mathbf{u}_{2\,t}$ into equations (4.66)–(4.68) directly yields the nonlocal evolution equation

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{u}_1\\ \mathbf{u}_2\end{pmatrix}_t = D_x^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\alpha}\mathbf{u}_1 + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}}\big((\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,t} + \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,t})\mathbf{u}_{1\,t} + (\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,t})\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,t}\big)\\ -\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\alpha}\mathbf{u}_2 + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}}\big((\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,t} + \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,t})\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - (\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,t})\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,t}\big)\end{pmatrix} \tag{4.71}$$

with

$$\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}}{|\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2} \tag{4.72}$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\alpha} = 1 \mp \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}. \tag{4.73}$$

This -1 flow equation (4.71) is equivalent to a hyperbolic system of coupled vector SG equations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_{1\,tx} =& \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}}{|\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}\big((\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,t} + \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,t})\mathbf{u}_{1\,t} + (\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,t})\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,t}\big)\\ &- \big(1 \mp \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}\big)\mathbf{u}_1\\ \mathbf{u}_{2\,tx} =& \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}}{|\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}\big((\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,t} + \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,t})\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - (\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,t})\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,t}\big)\\ &- \big(1 \mp \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}\big)\mathbf{u}_2\end{aligned} \tag{4.74}$$

which is invariant under the symplectic group $Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)$, defined by the transformations (3.36)–(3.37) on the vector pair $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$.

Alternatively, from the flow equation (4.48) combined with the relations (4.69)–(4.70), the variables $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}$ are found to obey coupled vector SG equations

$$\begin{aligned} \big(-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}}\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_x + \frac{\alpha^2}{\rho}(a\mathbf{h}^{1\perp} + b\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp})\big)_t = \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \\ \big(-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}}\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_x + \frac{\alpha^2}{\rho}(a\mathbf{h}^{2\perp} - b\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp})\big)_t = \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.75}$$

with

$$\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\rho}} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 - |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2}}{|\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2}. \tag{4.76}$$

A Hamiltonian structure for the system (4.74) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{H} \begin{pmatrix} \delta H^{(-1)}/\delta \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \delta H^{(-1)}/\delta \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.77}$$

in terms of

$$H^{(-1)} = \pm 8\sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 - |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2} \tag{4.78}$$

where $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}$ are implicitly determined as nonlocal functions of the variables $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$ (and their x-derivatives) through expressions (4.69), (4.70), (4.76).

4.4. **Geometric curve flows.** From Theorem 2.3, the flows in the hierarchy (4.39) and (4.47) for $(\mathbf{u}_1(t,x), \mathbf{u}_2(t,x)) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ correspond to $SU(2n)$-invariant non-stretching geometric curve flows for $\gamma(t,x) \in M = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$. The resulting equations of motion can be expressed covariantly in terms of $X = \gamma_x$, $N = \nabla_x \gamma_x$, and ∇_x-derivatives of N, in addition to the Riemannian metric and curvature tensors on M.

The SG flow (4.74) is given by $\mathbf{w}^{1\perp} = \mathbf{w}^{2\perp} = 0$, which implies $\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel} = \mathrm{w}^{2\parallel} = 0$ and $\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel} = \mathbf{W}^{2\parallel} = 0$ as a consequence of the structure equation (4.24). This determines

$$\varpi^{\perp} = \varpi^{\parallel} = 0. \tag{4.79}$$

Hence the corresponding flow vector $\gamma_t = Y_{(-1)}$ satisfies

$$e\rfloor \nabla_x \gamma_t = D_x e_t + [\omega_x, e_t] = [\omega_t, e_x] = -\mathrm{ad}(e_x)\varpi^{\perp} = 0 \tag{4.80}$$

yielding the $SU(2n)$-invariant curve flow equation

$$0 = \nabla_t \gamma_x, \quad |\gamma_x| = 1, \tag{4.81}$$

which is called the *non-stretching wave map* on $M = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$. In addition to satisfying the non-stretching property $\nabla_t|\gamma_x| = 0$, this equation (4.81) possesses the conservation law $\nabla_x|\gamma_t| = 0$, corresponding to equation (4.61). Thus, up to a conformal scaling of t, the wave map equation describes a flow with unit speed, $|\gamma_t| = 1$.

The mKdV flow (4.43), after t has been rescaled, is given by $\mathbf{h}_{1\perp} = -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{2\perp} = -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}$, from which $\mathbf{H}_{1\parallel} = -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\rho}(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{u}_1 + \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{u}_2)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{2\parallel} = -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\rho}(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_2^{\mathrm{t}}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1)$ are obtained by the structure equation (4.22). This determines

$$(e_t)_{\perp} = -\sqrt{\rho}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \tag{4.82}$$

$$(e_t)_{\parallel} = \sqrt{\rho}(\tfrac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \tfrac{1}{2}\overline{\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_2)}, \boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1)) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}. \tag{4.83}$$

Then $e_t = e\rfloor\gamma_t$ can be expressed as follows in terms of

$$e\rfloor N = [\omega_x, e_x] = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathfrak{m}_\perp, \tag{4.84}$$

$$(e\rfloor\nabla_x N)_\perp = (e\rfloor N)_x = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}, \mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}) \in \mathfrak{m}_\perp, \tag{4.85}$$

$$(e\rfloor\nabla_x N)_\parallel = [\omega_x, e\rfloor N] = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \overline{\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_2)}, 2\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1)) \in \mathfrak{m}_\parallel. \tag{4.86}$$

Consider

$$\mathrm{ad}(e\rfloor N)e_x = -\frac{1}{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathfrak{h}_\perp \tag{4.87}$$

which leads to

$$\mathrm{ad}(e\rfloor N)^2 e_x = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}^3}(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \overline{\boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_2)}, 2\boldsymbol{Q}(\mathrm{i}\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1)) \in \mathfrak{m}_\parallel \tag{4.88}$$

by means of the Lie brackets (3.30a) and (3.31c). Comparing equations (4.82)–(4.83) with equations (4.85)–(4.86) and (4.88), we see that

$$(e_t)_\perp - 2(e_t)_\parallel = \rho e\rfloor\nabla_x N, \tag{4.89}$$

$$2(e_t)_\parallel = -\rho\,\mathrm{ad}(e\rfloor N)^2 e_x. \tag{4.90}$$

This yields

$$e\rfloor\gamma_t = (e_t)_\perp + (e_t)_\parallel = \rho e\rfloor\nabla_x N - \frac{3}{2}\rho^2 e\rfloor\mathrm{ad}(N)^2 X \tag{4.91}$$

where

$$\mathrm{ad}(N)^2 = -R(\cdot, N)N \tag{4.92}$$

is a linear map on $T_\gamma M$. Hence the flow vector $\gamma_t = Y_{(1)}$ satisfies

$$\gamma_t = \nabla_x^2\gamma_x - \rho\frac{3}{2}\mathrm{ad}(\nabla_x\gamma_x)^2\gamma_x, \quad |\gamma_x| = 1, \tag{4.93}$$

which is a $SU(2n)$-invariant curve flow equation called the *non-stretching mKdV map* on $M = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$. The simple form of the nonlinearities in this equation is due to the algebraic property that $\mathrm{ad}(e_x)^2$ is a multiple of the identity on the vector spaces $\mathfrak{m}_\perp \simeq \mathfrak{h}_\perp$, as explained by the general results in Ref. [8].

5. Bi-Hamiltonian soliton equations in $Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$

Let $\gamma(t,x)$ be any non-stretching curve flow in $M = Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$. Employing the notation and preliminaries in Sec. 2 and Sec. 3.2, we introduce a $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$-parallel framing along γ as expressed in terms of the variables

$$e_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}(1) \in \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathfrak{m}_\parallel, \quad \chi = 8(n+2) \tag{5.1}$$

$$\omega_x = ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_\perp, \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&h_{\parallel} = (\mathrm{h}_{\parallel}) \in \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}, && (5.3)\\
&h_{\perp} = ((\mathrm{h}_{1\perp}, \mathrm{h}_{2\perp}), (\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}_{2\perp})) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, && (5.4)\\
&\varpi^{\parallel} = ((\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel}, \mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}), (\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel}, \mathbf{W}^{2\parallel})) \in \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n-1) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\parallel}, && (5.5)\\
&\varpi^{\perp} = ((\mathrm{w}^{1\perp}, \mathrm{w}^{2\perp}), (\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{w}^{2\perp})) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, && (5.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

using the matrix identifications (3.55)–(3.56), where $\mathrm{h}_{1\parallel} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real variable, $\mathrm{w}^{1\perp}, \mathrm{w}^{1\parallel}, \mathrm{h}_{1\perp} \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ are imaginary (complex) scalar variables, $\mathrm{w}^{2\perp}, \mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}, \mathrm{h}_{2\perp} \in \mathbb{C}$ are complex scalar variables, $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{w}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{w}^{2\perp}, \mathbf{h}_{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}_{2\perp} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ are complex vector variables, $\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel} \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1)$ is an anti-Hermitian matrix variable, and $\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel} \in \mathfrak{s}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$ is a complex symmetric matrix variable. For later use, through properties (3.57a)–(3.57b) we also introduce the variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\perp} &= ((\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}, \mathrm{h}^{2\perp}), (\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp})) = \mathrm{ad}(e_x)h_{\perp}\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}((2\mathrm{h}_{1\perp}, 2\mathrm{h}_{2\perp}), (-\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}, -\mathbf{h}_{2\perp})) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\perp}, \quad \chi = 8(n+2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.7}
$$

where $\mathrm{h}^{1\perp} \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ is an imaginary (complex) scalar variable, $\mathrm{h}^{2\perp} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex scalar variable, and $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ are complex vector variables.

Up to the rigid (x-independent) action of the equivalence group $H^*_{\parallel} = \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(n) \times Sp(1))$, a $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$-parallel linear coframe e along γ is then determined by the variables (5.1) and (5.2) via the transport equation

$$\nabla_x e = -\mathrm{ad}(\omega_x)e \tag{5.8}$$

together with the soldering relation

$$e \rfloor \gamma_x = e_x. \tag{5.9}$$

The resulting coframe e defines an isomorphism between $T_\gamma M$ and $\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, which yields the following correspondence between the set of frames for $T_\gamma M$ and the set of bases for $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $e_{\parallel}$ and $e_{\perp}$ be the respective projections of e into $\mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\perp}$ given in terms of the matrix identifications (3.55)–(3.56) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e_{\parallel} = (\mathrm{a}_{\parallel}(\cdot)) && (5.10)\\
&e_{\perp} = ((\mathrm{a}_{\perp}(\cdot), \mathrm{b}_{\perp}(\cdot)), (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(\cdot), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(\cdot))) && (5.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}_{\parallel}(\cdot)$, $\mathrm{a}_{\perp}(\cdot)$, $\mathrm{b}_{\perp}(\cdot)$ are linear maps from $T_x M$ into $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, respectively, and where both $\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\perp}(\cdot)$ are linear maps from $T_x M$ into $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Let $(T_\gamma M)_{\parallel}$ and $(T_\gamma M)_{\perp}$ be the orthogonal subspaces of $T_\gamma M$ respectively defined by the kernels of $e_{\parallel}$ and $e_{\perp}$, so thus

$$e_{\parallel} \rfloor (T_\gamma M)_{\perp} = e_{\perp} \rfloor (T_\gamma M)_{\parallel} = 0 \tag{5.12}$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e \rfloor (T_\gamma M)_{\parallel} = e_{\parallel} \rfloor T_\gamma M = \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} \simeq \mathbb{R}, && (5.13)\\
&e \rfloor (T_\gamma M)_{\perp} = e_{\perp} \rfloor T_\gamma M = \mathfrak{m}_{\perp} \simeq \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}. && (5.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, in this notation,

$$e_{\|}\rfloor\gamma_x = e_x, \quad e_{\perp}\rfloor\gamma_x = 0, \tag{5.15}$$

$$e_{\|}\rfloor\gamma_t = h_{\|}, \quad e_{\perp}\rfloor\gamma_t = h_{\perp}. \tag{5.16}$$

Now if $\{\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{R}$, then $e_{\|}$ determines a corresponding basis $\{X_{\|\mathbb{R}}\}$ for $(T_\gamma M)_{\|}$ given by

$$\mathrm{a}_{\|}(X_{\|\mathbb{R}}) = \mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}.$$

Similarly if $\{\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\}$ are respectively a basis for $\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ viewed as real vector spaces, and if $\{\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\}$, $k = 1, \dots, 2(n-1)$, is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ viewed as a real vector space, then $e_{\perp}$ determines a corresponding basis $\{X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}, X_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}\}$, $k, k' = 1, \dots, 2(n-1)$, for the vector space $(T_\gamma M)_{\perp}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&((\mathrm{a}_{\perp}(X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}), \mathrm{b}_{\perp}(X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}})), (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}))) = ((\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}, 0), (0, 0)),\\
&((\mathrm{a}_{\perp}(X_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), \mathrm{b}_{\perp}(X_{\perp\mathbb{C}})), (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(X_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(X_{\perp\mathbb{C}}))) = ((0, \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), (0, 0)),\\
&((\mathrm{a}_{\perp}(X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), \mathrm{b}_{\perp}(X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}})), (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}))) = ((0, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), (0, 0)),\\
&((\mathrm{a}_{\perp}(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), \mathrm{b}_{\perp}(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})), (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}))) = ((0, 0), (\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, 0)),\\
&((\mathrm{a}_{\perp}(X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), \mathrm{b}_{\perp}(X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})), (\mathbf{a}_{\perp}(X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}), \mathbf{b}_{\perp}(X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}))) = ((0, 0), (0, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})).
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, if each basis $\{\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}\}$, $\{\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}\}$, $\{\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\}$, $\{\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\}$ is normalized such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}, \mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}\rangle = \langle \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}, \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}\rangle = -1,\\
&\langle \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\rangle = \langle \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\rangle = -1, \quad \langle \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\rangle = 0,\\
&\langle \mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}\rangle = -\delta_{kk'},
\end{aligned}$$

then the basis for $T_\gamma M = (T_\gamma M)_{\|} \oplus (T_\gamma M)_{\perp}$ has the corresponding normalization

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(X_{\perp\mathbb{R}}, X_{\perp\mathbb{R}}) = g(X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}, X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}) = 1,\\
&g(X_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) = g(X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) = 1, \quad g(X_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) = 0,\\
&g(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) = g(X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}, X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}) = \delta_{kk'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from the transport equation (5.8) together with the Lie brackets (3.60b), (3.63a) and (3.63b), the resulting orthonormal frame

$$\{X_{\|\mathbb{R}}, X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}, X_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}\}, \tag{5.17}$$

can be shown to satisfy the Frenet equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x X_{\|\mathbb{R}} &= U_{\mathbb{R},\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} + U_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} X_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + U'_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_k U^{(k)}_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{k'} U^{(k')}_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}\\
\nabla_x X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} &= -U_{\mathbb{R},\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} X_{\|\mathbb{R}} + \sum_k U^{(k)}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{k'} U^{(k')}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}\\
\nabla_x X_{\perp\mathbb{C}} &= -U_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} X_{\|\mathbb{R}} + \sum_k U^{(k)}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}} X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{k'} U^{(k')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}\\
\nabla_x X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}} &= -U'_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} X_{\|\mathbb{R}} + \sum_k U'^{(k)}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}} X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{k'} U'^{(k')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x X^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} &= -U^{(k)}_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} X_{\|\mathbb{R}} - U^{(k)}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} - U^{(k)}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}} X_{\perp\mathbb{C}} - U'^{(k)}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}} X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}} \\
&\qquad + \sum_j U^{(k,j)}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}} X^{(j)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{j'} U^{(k,j')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(j')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'} \\
\nabla_x X^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'} &= -U^{(k')}_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}'} X_{\|\mathbb{R}} - U^{(k')}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}'} X_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} - U^{(k')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} X_{\perp\mathbb{C}} - U'^{(k')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} X'_{\perp\mathbb{C}} \\
&\qquad - \sum_j U^{(j,k')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} X^{(j)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{j'} U^{(k',j')}_{\mathbb{C}',\mathbb{C}'} X^{(j')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}'}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18b}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathbb{R},\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} &= \langle [((\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}, 0), (0, 0)) \rangle \\
&= 8(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}) \\
U_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [((\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), (0, 0)) \rangle \\
&= 8(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{u}_2, \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U'_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [((\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), (0, 0)) \rangle \\
&= 8(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{u}_2, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}})
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U^{(k)}_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [((\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}})), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, 0), (\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, 0)) \rangle \\
&= -4(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U^{(k')}_{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}'} &= \langle [((\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}}), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, 0), (0, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})) \rangle \\
&= -4(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\|\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U^{(k)}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [((\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}, 0), (0, 0)), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, 0), (\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, 0)) \rangle \\
&= -4(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U^{(k')}_{\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}'} &= \langle [((\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}}, 0), (0, 0)), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, 0), (0, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})) \rangle \\
&= -4(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U^{(k)}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [((0, \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), (0, 0)), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, 0), (\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, 0)) \rangle \\
&= 4(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U'^{(k)}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [((0, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), (0, 0)), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, 0), (\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}, 0)) \rangle \\
&= 4(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U^{(k')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} &= \langle [((0, \mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), (0, 0)), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, 0), (0, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})) \rangle \\
&= -4(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}_{\perp\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\
U'^{(k')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} &= \langle [((0, \mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}}), (0, 0)), ((\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2))], ((0, 0), (0, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})) \rangle \\
&= -4(n+2) Q(\mathrm{m}'_{\perp\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1, \mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U^{(k,j)}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}} &= \langle [((0,0),(\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}},0)),((\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2))],((0,0),(\mathbf{m}^{(j)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}},0))\rangle \\ &= 4(n+2)Q(\mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}},\mathbf{m}^{(j)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) = -4(n+2)Q(\mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{m}^{(j)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}},\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\ U^{(k,j')}_{\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}'} &= \langle [((0,0),(\mathbf{m}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}},0)),((\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2))],((0,0),(0,\mathbf{m}^{(j')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}))\rangle \\ &= 4(n+2)Q(\mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{m}}^{(k)}_{\perp\mathbb{C}},\mathbf{m}^{(j')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \\ U^{(k',j')}_{\mathbb{C}',\mathbb{C}'} &= \langle [((0,0),(0,\mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}})),((\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2))],((0,0),(0,\mathbf{m}^{(j')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}))\rangle \\ &= 4(n+2)Q(\mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}},\mathbf{m}^{(j')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) = -4(n+2)Q(\mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{m}^{(j')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}},\mathbf{m}^{(k')}_{\perp\mathbb{C}}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.19c}$$

denote the Cartan matrix components of the underlying $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$-parallel linear connection (5.2) projected into the tangent space of the curve.

In this frame, the components of the principal normal vector

$$N := \nabla_x X = \langle e^*, \mathrm{ad}(e_x)\omega_x \rangle \tag{5.20}$$

are given by

$$e \rfloor N = -\mathrm{ad}(e_x)\omega_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}((-2\mathrm{u}_1,-2\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2)) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \simeq \mathfrak{m}_\perp \tag{5.21}$$

through relation (3.57b). These components $((-2\mathrm{u}_1,-2\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2))$ are invariantly defined by the curve γ up to the rigid (x-independent) action of the equivalence group $H^*_\parallel = \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)) \subset \mathrm{Ad}(Sp(n))$ that preserves the framing at each point x. Moreover, the pair of scalars $(\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_2)$ and the pair of vectors $(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2)$ belong to separate irreducible representations of this group. Hence, in geometrical terms, the complex scalar-vector pair $((-2\mathrm{u}_1,-2\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2))$ describes *covariants* of the curve γ relative to the group $H^*_\parallel$, while x-derivatives of this pair describe *differential covariants* which arise geometrically from the frame components of x-derivatives of the principal normal vector N. We thus note that the geometric invariants of γ as defined by Riemannian inner products of the tangent vector $X = \gamma_x$ and its derivatives $N = \nabla_x\gamma_x$, $\nabla_x N = \nabla_x^2\gamma_x$, etc. along the curve γ can be expressed as scalars formed from Cartan-Killing inner products of the covariants $((-2\mathrm{u}_1,-2\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2))$ and the differential covariants $((-2\mathrm{u}_{1\,x},-2\mathrm{u}_{2\,x}),(\mathbf{u}_{1\,x},\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}))$, etc.; for example

$$g(N,N) = -g(X,\nabla_x^2 X) = \frac{1}{\chi}\big(4(|\mathrm{u}_1|^2+|\mathrm{u}_2|^2)+|\mathbf{u}_1|^2+|\mathbf{u}_2|^2\big)$$

yields the square of the classical curvature invariant of the curve γ. In particular, the set of invariants given by $\{g(X,\nabla_x^{2l}X)\}$, $l = 1,\ldots,4n-1 (= \dim\mathfrak{m}-1)$ generates the components of the connection matrix of a classical Frenet frame [20] determined by γ_x.

5.1. **Hamiltonian operators and flows.** The Cartan structure equations (2.10) and (2.11) for the $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$-parallel framing of γ expressed in terms of the variables (5.1)–(5.6) are respectively given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{w}^{1\perp} &= \frac{\sqrt{\chi}}{2}\big(D_x\mathrm{h}_{1\perp} - \tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{h}_{2\perp}) - \tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}) + 2\mathrm{h}_{\parallel}\mathrm{u}_1\big),\\
\mathrm{w}^{2\perp} &= \frac{\sqrt{\chi}}{2}\big(D_x\mathrm{h}_{2\perp} - \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}) + \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{h}_{2\perp}) + 2\mathrm{h}_{\parallel}\mathrm{u}_2\big),\\
\mathbf{w}^{1\perp} &= -\sqrt{\chi}\big(D_x\mathbf{h}_{1\perp} - \mathrm{h}_{1\perp}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{h}_{1\perp} + \mathrm{h}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 - \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{2\perp} - \mathrm{h}_{\parallel}\mathbf{u}_1\big),\\
\mathbf{w}^{2\perp} &= -\sqrt{\chi}\big(D_x\mathbf{h}_{2\perp} - \mathrm{h}_{1\perp}\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{1\perp} - \mathrm{h}_{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{h}_2 - \mathrm{h}_{\parallel}\mathbf{u}_2\big),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.22}
$$

$$
D_x\mathrm{h}_{\parallel} = Q(\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{h}_{1\perp}) - \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}) + Q(\mathrm{u}_2,\mathrm{h}_{2\perp}) - \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{h}_{2\perp}),
\tag{5.23}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{u}_{1\,t} &= D_x\mathrm{w}^{1\perp} + \tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}) + \tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{w}^{2\perp}) + \overline{\mathrm{u}}_2\mathrm{w}^{2\parallel} - \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathrm{w}}^{2\parallel} + \mathrm{h}^{1\perp},\\
\mathrm{u}_{2\,t} &= D_x\mathrm{w}^{2\perp} - \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{w}^{2\perp}) + \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}) - 2\mathrm{u}_2\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel} + 2\mathrm{u}_1\mathrm{w}^{2\parallel} + \mathrm{h}^{2\perp},\\
\mathbf{u}_{1\,t} &= D_x\mathbf{w}^{1\perp} - \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{w}^{1\perp} + \mathrm{w}^{1\perp}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{w}}^{2\perp} - \mathrm{w}^{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 - \mathrm{w}^{1\parallel}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2\\
&\qquad + \mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel} - \mathbf{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{W}}^{2\parallel} + \mathbf{h}^{1\perp},\\
\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} &= D_x\mathbf{w}^{2\perp} - \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{w}^{2\perp} + \mathrm{w}^{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{w}}^{1\perp} + \mathrm{w}^{1\perp}\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathrm{w}^{1\parallel}\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1\\
&\qquad + \mathbf{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{W}}^{1\parallel} + \mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel} + \mathbf{h}^{2\perp},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.24}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_x\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel} &= -\overline{\mathrm{u}}_2\mathrm{w}^{2\perp} + \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathrm{w}}^{2\perp} + \tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}) + \tfrac{1}{2}P(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{w}^{2\perp}),\\
D_x\mathrm{w}^{2\parallel} &= 2\mathrm{u}_2\mathrm{w}^{1\perp} - 2\mathrm{u}_1\mathrm{w}^{2\perp} + \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}) - \tfrac{1}{2}S(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{w}^{2\perp}),\\
D_x\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel} &= \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}) + \overline{\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{w}^{2\perp})},\\
D_x\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel} &= \overline{\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{w}^{2\perp})} - \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{w}^{1\perp}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.25}
$$

These equations (5.22)–(5.25) directly encode a pair of compatible Hamiltonian operators as stated by Theorem 2.1. Using the operator notation (4.25)–(4.29), and eliminating $\mathrm{h}_{\parallel}$ through the torsion equation (5.23) and $\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel}$, $\mathrm{w}^{2\parallel}$, $\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel}$, $\mathbf{W}^{2\parallel}$ through the curvature equation (5.25), as well as replacing $\mathrm{h}_{1\perp}$, $\mathrm{h}_{2\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}_{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}_{2\perp}$ respectively in terms of $\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}$,$\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}$,$\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}$, we obtain the following main result.

Theorem 5.1. *For the imaginary scalar variable* $\mathrm{u}_1 \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$*, the complex scalar variable* $\mathrm{u}_2 \in \mathbb{C}$*, and the pair of complex vector variables* $\mathbf{u}_1(t,x), \mathbf{u}_2(t,x) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$*, the flow equations given by* (5.22)*–*(5.24) *have the operator form*

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{u}_1 \\ \mathrm{u}_2 \\ \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = \mathcal{H}\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{w}^{1\perp} \\ \mathrm{w}^{2\perp} \\ \mathbf{w}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{w}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{h}^{1\perp} \\ \mathrm{h}^{2\perp} \\ \mathbf{h}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{w}^{1\perp} \\ \mathrm{w}^{2\perp} \\ \mathbf{w}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{w}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\chi}{4}\mathcal{J}\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{h}^{1\perp} \\ \mathrm{h}^{2\perp} \\ \mathbf{h}^{1\perp} \\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \end{pmatrix},
\tag{5.26}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ *and* $\mathcal{J}$ *are compatible Hamiltonian cosymplectic and symplectic operators on the x-jet space of* $(\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$*. The* 4×4 *components of these operators* $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_{ij})$ *and*

$\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{J}_{ij})$, *with* $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{11} &= D_x - P_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathrm{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{H}_{12} &= P_{u_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathrm{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{H}_{13} &= \tfrac{1}{2} P_{\mathbf{u}_1} - \tfrac{1}{2} P_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{H}_{14} &= \tfrac{1}{2} P_{\mathbf{u}_2} + \tfrac{1}{2} P_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{21} &= S_{\mathrm{u}_1} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathrm{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{H}_{22} &= D_x - S_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathrm{u}_2} - S_{\mathrm{u}_1} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathrm{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{H}_{23} &= \tfrac{1}{2} S_{\mathbf{u}_2} - \tfrac{1}{2} S_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathbf{u}_1} + \tfrac{1}{2} S_{\mathrm{u}_1} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{H}_{24} &= -\tfrac{1}{2} S_{\mathbf{u}_1} - \tfrac{1}{2} S_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathbf{u}_2} - \tfrac{1}{2} S_{\mathrm{u}_1} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{31} &= R_{\mathbf{u}_1} + R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathrm{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{H}_{32} &= -R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2} - R_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathrm{u}_2} - R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathrm{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{H}_{33} &= D_x - L_{\mathrm{u}_1} - \tfrac{1}{2} R_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathbf{u}_1} + L_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{u}_1} + \tfrac{1}{2} R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_2} + L_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} \mathcal{C} \boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{H}_{34} &= L_{\mathrm{u}_2} \mathcal{C} - \tfrac{1}{2} R_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathbf{u}_2} + L_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} \mathcal{C} \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{u}_2} - R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_1} - L_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{41} &= R_{\mathbf{u}_2} - R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathrm{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{H}_{42} &= R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1} - R_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathrm{u}_2} + R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathrm{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{H}_{43} &= -L_{\mathrm{u}_2} \mathcal{C} - \tfrac{1}{2} R_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathbf{u}_1} + L_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} \mathcal{C} \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{u}_1} - \tfrac{1}{2} R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_2} - L_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} \boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{H}_{44} &= D_x - L_{\mathrm{u}_1} - \tfrac{1}{2} R_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} P_{\mathbf{u}_2} + L_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{u}_2} + \tfrac{1}{2} R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1} D_x^{-1} S_{\mathbf{u}_1} + L_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} \mathcal{C} \boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{u}_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{11} &= D_x + S_{\mathrm{u}_1} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathrm{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{J}_{12} &= S_{\mathrm{u}_1} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathrm{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{J}_{13} &= P_{\mathbf{u}_1} + S_{\mathrm{u}_1} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathbf{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{J}_{14} &= P_{\mathbf{u}_2} + S_{\mathrm{u}_1} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathbf{u}_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{21} &= S_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathrm{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{J}_{22} &= D_x + S_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathrm{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{J}_{23} &= S_{\mathbf{u}_2} + S_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathbf{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{J}_{24} &= -S_{\mathbf{u}_1} + S_{\mathrm{u}_2} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathbf{u}_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{31} &= 2R_{\mathbf{u}_1} + 2R_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathrm{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{J}_{32} &= -2R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2} + 2R_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathrm{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{J}_{33} &= 4D_x + 4L_{\mathrm{u}_1} + 2R_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathbf{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{J}_{34} &= -4L_{\mathrm{u}_2} \mathcal{C} + 2R_{\mathbf{u}_1} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathbf{u}_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{41} &= 2R_{\mathbf{u}_2} + 2R_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathrm{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{J}_{42} &= 2R_{\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1} + 2R_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathrm{u}_2} \\
\mathcal{J}_{43} &= 4L_{\mathrm{u}_2} \mathcal{C} + 2R_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathbf{u}_1} \\
\mathcal{J}_{44} &= 4D_x + 4L_{\mathrm{u}_1} + 2R_{\mathbf{u}_2} D_x^{-1} Q_{\mathbf{u}_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

The properties of these operators are similar to the Hamiltonian structure (4.33)–(4.38). Let J^∞ denote the x-jet space of the variables $(\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$. The cosymplectic property of $\mathcal{H}$ means that it defines an associated Poisson bracket

$$\{\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2\}_{\mathcal{H}} := \int \sum_{\substack{l=1,2\\ l'=1,2}} Q(\delta\mathfrak{H}_1/\delta\mathrm{u}_l, \mathcal{H}_{ll'}(\delta\mathfrak{H}_2/\delta\mathrm{u}_{l'})) + \sum_{\substack{l=3,4\\ l'=3,4}} Q(\delta\mathfrak{H}_1/\delta\mathbf{u}_{l-2}, \mathcal{H}_{ll'}(\delta\mathfrak{H}_2/\delta\mathbf{u}_{l'-2}))\, dx \tag{5.35}$$

which is skew-symmetric and obeys the Jacobi identity, for all real-valued functionals $\mathfrak{H}$ on J^∞. The symplectic property of $\mathcal{J}$ means that it defines an associated symplectic 2-form

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathrm{X}_1, \mathrm{X}_2)_{\mathcal{J}} := \int \sum_{\substack{l=1,2\\ l'=1,2}} Q(\mathrm{X}_1\mathrm{u}_l, \mathcal{J}_{ll'}(\mathrm{X}_2\mathrm{u}_{l'})) + \sum_{\substack{l=3,4\\ l'=3,4}} Q(\mathrm{X}_1\mathbf{u}_{l-2}, \mathcal{J}_{ll'}(\mathrm{X}_2\mathbf{u}_{l'-2}))\, dx \tag{5.36}$$

which is skew-symmetric and closed for all vector fields $\mathrm{X} = \mathrm{h}^{1\perp} \cdot \partial/\partial\mathrm{u}_1 + \mathrm{h}^{2\perp} \cdot \partial/\partial\mathrm{u}_2 + \mathbf{h}^{1\perp} \cdot \partial/\partial\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{h}^{2\perp} \cdot \partial/\partial\mathbf{u}_2$ defined in terms of scalar-vector function pairs $(\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}, \mathrm{h}^{2\perp}) \in i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, $(\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ on J^∞. Compatibility of the operators $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ means that every linear combination $c_1\mathcal{H} + c_2\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ is a cosymplectic Hamiltonian operator, or equivalently that $c_1\mathcal{H}^{-1} + c_2\mathcal{J}$ is a symplectic operator, where $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ denote formal inverse operators defined on J^∞.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.2, we have the following result.

Corollary 5.2. *The operator $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{J}$ generates a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian flows* (5.26) *on* $(\mathrm{u}_1(t,x), \mathrm{u}_2(t,x), \mathbf{u}_1(t,x), \mathbf{u}_2(t,x))$ *given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{h}^{1\perp}_{(k)} \\ \mathrm{h}^{2\perp}_{(k)} \\ \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_{(k)} \\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}^k \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{u}_{1\,x} \\ \mathrm{u}_{2\,x} \\ \mathbf{u}_{1\,x} \\ \mathbf{u}_{2\,x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{5.37}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{w}^{1\perp}_{(k)} \\ \mathrm{w}^{2\perp}_{(k)} \\ \mathbf{w}^{1\perp}_{(k)} \\ \mathbf{w}^{2\perp}_{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta H^{(k)}/\delta\mathrm{u}_1 \\ \delta H^{(k)}/\delta\mathrm{u}_2 \\ \delta H^{(k)}/\delta\mathbf{u}_1 \\ \delta H^{(k)}/\delta\mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}^{*k} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{u}_1 \\ \mathrm{u}_2 \\ \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{5.38}$$

in terms of the Hamiltonians

$$H^{(k)} = \frac{1}{1+2k}\mathrm{h}_{\parallel}^{(k)}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{5.39}$$

with

$$\mathrm{h}_{\parallel}^{(k)} = D_x^{-1}\big(A(\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{h}^{1\perp}_{(k)}) + A(\mathrm{u}_2, \mathrm{h}^{2\perp}_{(k)}) + A(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}_{(k)}) + A(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}_{(k)})\big), \tag{5.40}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}$ is the adjoint of $\mathcal{R}$.

The $+k$ flow in this hierarchy (5.37) is scaling invariant under $(\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \to \lambda^{-1}(\mathrm{u}_1, \mathrm{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$, $x \to \lambda x$, $t \to \lambda^{1+2k}t$.

5.2. **mKdV flow.** After a scaling of $t \to 4t/\chi$, where $\chi = 8(n+2)$, the $+1$ flow in the hierarchy (5.37) yields an integrable system of coupled scalar-vector mKdV equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{u}_{1\,t} - \frac{1}{\chi}\mathrm{u}_{1\,x} &= \mathrm{u}_{1\,xxx} - 3(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,xx}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,xx} + \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,xx}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,xx}) + 6\mathrm{u}_{1\,x}(|\mathrm{u}_1|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_2|^2)\\
&\quad + 3\overline{\mathrm{u}}_2(\mathbf{u}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} - \mathbf{u}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}) - 3\mathrm{u}_2(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,x} - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,x})\\
\mathrm{u}_{2\,t} - \frac{1}{\chi}\mathrm{u}_{2\,x} &= \mathrm{u}_{2\,xxx} + 3(\mathbf{u}_{1\,xx}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_{2\,xx}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1) + 6\mathrm{u}_{2\,x}(|\mathrm{u}_2|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_1|^2)\\
&\quad + 6\mathrm{u}_1(\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_{2\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1) + 6\mathrm{u}_2(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} + \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,x})
\end{aligned} \tag{5.41}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_{1\,t} - \frac{1}{\chi}\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} &= 4\mathbf{u}_{1\,xxx} + \mathrm{u}_{1\,xx}\mathbf{u}_1 - 3\mathrm{u}_{2\,xx}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 + 6(\mathrm{u}_{1\,x} + |\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_2|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_1|^2)\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\\
&\quad - 6\mathrm{u}_{2x}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,x} + 3\big(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} + \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,x} + (|\mathrm{u}_2|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_1|^2)_x\\
&\quad + 2\mathrm{u}_1(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2)\big)\mathbf{u}_1 + 3\big(\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,x} - 2\mathrm{u}_2(|\mathbf{u}_2|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_1|^2)\big)\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2\\
\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - \frac{1}{\chi}\mathbf{u}_{2\,x} &= 4\mathbf{u}_{2\,xxx} + 3\mathrm{u}_{2\,xx}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathrm{u}_{1\,xx}\mathbf{u}_2 + 6(\mathrm{u}_{1\,x} + |\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_2|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_1|^2)\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}\\
&\quad + 6\mathrm{u}_{2\,x}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,x} + 3\big(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} + \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,x} + (|\mathrm{u}_2|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_1|^2)_x\\
&\quad + 2\mathrm{u}_1(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2)\big)\mathbf{u}_2 + 3\big(\mathbf{u}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{2\,x} - \mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 + 2\mathrm{u}_2(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2)\big)\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

where a dot denotes the standard Euclidean inner product (cf (3.21)–(3.22)).

This system is invariant under the symplectic group $Sp(1)\times Sp(n-1)$, defined by the transformations (3.68)–(3.69) on the scalar-vector pair $((\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2))$, and has the following bi-Hamiltonian structure

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{u}_1\\ \mathrm{u}_2\\ \mathbf{u}_1\\ \mathbf{u}_2\end{pmatrix}_t - \chi^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{u}_1\\ \mathrm{u}_2\\ \mathbf{u}_1\\ \mathbf{u}_2\end{pmatrix}_x = \mathcal{H}\begin{pmatrix}\delta H^{(1)}/\delta\mathrm{u}_1\\ \delta H^{(1)}/\delta\mathrm{u}_2\\ \delta H^{(1)}/\delta\mathbf{u}_1\\ \delta H^{(1)}/\delta\mathbf{u}_2\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{E}\begin{pmatrix}\delta H^{(0)}/\delta\mathrm{u}_1\\ \delta H^{(0)}/\delta\mathrm{u}_2\\ \delta H^{(0)}/\delta\mathbf{u}_1\\ \delta H^{(0)}/\delta\mathbf{u}_2\end{pmatrix} \tag{5.43}$$

in terms of the Hamiltonians

$$H^{(0)} = 4(|\mathrm{u}_1|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_2|^2) + |\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2, \tag{5.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{(1)} &= -(|\mathrm{u}_{1\,x}|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_{2\,x}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}|^2) + 2\mathrm{u}_1(\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \mathbf{u}_1\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,x})\\
&\quad + \mathrm{u}_2(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,x}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,x}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1) + \overline{\mathrm{u}}_2(\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_{2\,x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1)\\
&\quad + (|\mathrm{u}_1|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_2|^2)^2 + (|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2)^2 + 2(|\mathbf{u}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_2|^2)(|\mathrm{u}_1|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_2|^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{J}\mathcal{H}$ is a Hamiltonian cosymplectic operator compatible with $\mathcal{H}$.

We remark that the convective terms $\mathrm{u}_{1\,x}$, $\mathrm{u}_{2\,x}$,$\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}$, $\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}$ on the left-hand side in the system (5.41)–(5.42) and (5.43) can be removed by the Galilean transformation $t \to t$, $x \to x+\chi^{-1}t$.

5.3. **SG flow.** The recursion operator $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{J}$ yields a -1 flow defined by

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{w}^{1\perp}\\ \mathrm{w}^{2\perp}\\ \mathbf{w}^{1\perp}\\ \mathbf{w}^{2\perp}\end{pmatrix} = \frac{\chi}{4}\mathcal{J}\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}\\ \mathrm{h}^{2\perp}\\ \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}\\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.46}$$

The resulting flow equations (5.26) have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{u}_1\\ \mathrm{u}_2\\ \mathbf{u}_1\\ \mathbf{u}_2\end{pmatrix}_t = \begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}\\ \mathrm{h}^{2\perp}\\ \mathbf{h}^{1\perp}\\ \mathbf{h}^{2\perp}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.47}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D_x\mathrm{h}^{1\perp} &= -P(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}) - P(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}) - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\chi}}\mathrm{h}_\parallel \mathrm{u}_1,\\ D_x\mathrm{h}^{2\perp} &= -S(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}) + S(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}) - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\chi}}\mathrm{h}_\parallel \mathrm{u}_2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -D_x\mathbf{h}^{1\perp} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{h}^{1\perp} - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 - \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{2\perp} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}\mathrm{h}_\parallel\mathbf{u}_1,\\ -D_x\mathbf{h}^{2\perp} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{1\perp} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 + \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{h}^{2\perp} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}\mathrm{h}_\parallel\mathbf{u}_2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}D_x\mathrm{h}_\parallel = \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathrm{h}^{1\perp},\mathrm{u}_1) + \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{h}^{1\perp},\mathbf{u}_1) + \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathrm{h}^{2\perp},\mathrm{u}_2) + \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{h}^{2\perp},\mathbf{u}_2). \tag{5.50}$$

This system of equations (5.48)–(5.50) for the variables $\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}$, $\mathrm{h}_\parallel$ possesses the conservation law

$$D_x\big(\frac{1}{\chi}\mathrm{h}_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2\big) = 0. \tag{5.51}$$

Hence, after a conformal scaling of t, we can put

$$\frac{1}{\chi}\mathrm{h}_\parallel^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}|^2 + |\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 = 1 \tag{5.52}$$

which yields the relation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}\mathrm{h}_\parallel = \pm\sqrt{1 - \tfrac{1}{4}|\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}|^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}|\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}|^2 - |\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}|^2 - |\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}|^2}. \tag{5.53}$$

Substitution of $\mathrm{h}^{1\perp} = \mathrm{u}_{1\,t}$, $\mathrm{h}^{2\perp} = \mathrm{u}_{2\,t}$, $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp} = \mathbf{u}_{1\,t}$, $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp} = \mathbf{u}_{2\,t}$ into the equations (5.53) and (5.48)–(5.49) produces a hyperbolic system of coupled scalar-vector SG equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{u}_{1\,tx} &= \mathbf{u}_{1\,t}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \mathbf{u}_1\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,t} + \mathbf{u}_{2\,t}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 - \mathbf{u}_2\cdot\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,t} \mp 4\mathrm{u}_1\sqrt{1 - \tfrac{1}{4}(|\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}|^2) - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}\\ \mathrm{u}_{2\,tx} &= \mathbf{u}_{2\,t}\cdot\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_{1\,t}\cdot\mathbf{u}_2 \mp 4\mathrm{u}_2\sqrt{1 - \tfrac{1}{4}(|\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}|^2) - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{1\,tx} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathrm{u}_{2\,t}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2 - \mathrm{u}_{1\,t}\mathbf{u}_1) + \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2\,t} - \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{u}_{1\,t} \mp \sqrt{1 - \tfrac{1}{4}(|\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}|^2) - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}\ \mathbf{u}_1\\ \mathbf{u}_{2\,tx} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}(\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathrm{u}_{2\,t}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1) - \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{u}_{2\,t} - \mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{1\,t} \mp \sqrt{1 - \tfrac{1}{4}(|\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}|^2 + |\mathrm{u}_{1\,t}|^2) - |\mathbf{u}_{1\,t}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_{2\,t}|^2}\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

with a dot denoting the standard Euclidean inner product (cf (3.21)–(3.22)). This system is invariant under the symplectic group $Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)$, defined by the transformations (3.68)–(3.69) on the scalar-vector pair $((\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_2),(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2))$.

Alternatively, we can algebraically combine equations (5.48)–(5.49) to express u_1, u_2, $\mathbf{u}_1$, $\mathbf{u}_2$ entirely in terms of $\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}$, and their x derivatives. Substitution of the resulting expressions into the flow equation (5.47) yields coupled scalar-vector SG equations for the variables $\mathrm{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathrm{h}^{2\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{1\perp}$, $\mathbf{h}^{2\perp}$.

5.4. **Geometric curve flows.** From Theorem 2.3, the flows in the hierarchy (5.37) and (5.46) for $(\mathrm{u}_1(t,x), \mathrm{u}_2(t,x), \mathbf{u}_1(t,x), \mathbf{u}_2(t,x)) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ correspond to $Sp(n+1)$-invariant non-stretching geometric curve flows for $\gamma(t,x) \in M = Sp(n+1)/Sp(1)\times Sp(n)$. The resulting equations of motion can be expressed covariantly in terms of $X = \gamma_x$, $N = \nabla_x\gamma_x$, and ∇_x-derivatives of N, in addition to the Riemannian metric and curvature tensors on M.

The SG flow (5.54)–(5.55) is given by $\mathrm{w}^{1\perp} = \mathrm{w}^{2\perp} = 0$ and $\mathbf{w}^{1\perp} = \mathbf{w}^{2\perp} = 0$, which implies $\mathrm{w}^{1\parallel} = \mathrm{w}^{2\parallel} = 0$ and $\mathbf{W}^{1\parallel} = \mathbf{W}^{2\parallel} = 0$ from the structure equation (5.25). This determines

$$\varpi^{\perp} = \varpi^{\parallel} = 0 \tag{5.56}$$

and consequently the corresponding flow vector $\gamma_t = Y_{(-1)}$ satisfies

$$e\rfloor\nabla_x\gamma_t = D_x e_t + [\omega_x, e_t] = [\omega_t, e_x] = -\mathrm{ad}(e_x)\varpi^{\perp} = 0 \tag{5.57}$$

Hence we obtain the $Sp(n+1)$-invariant curve flow equation

$$0 = \nabla_t\gamma_x, \quad |\gamma_x| = 1, \tag{5.58}$$

which is called the *non-stretching wave map* on $M = Sp(n+1)/Sp(1)\times Sp(n)$. This equation (5.58) satisfies the non-stretching property $\nabla_t|\gamma_x| = 0$ and possesses the conservation law $\nabla_x|\gamma_t| = 0$, corresponding to equation (5.51). Thus, up to a conformal scaling of t, the wave map equation describes a flow with unit speed, $|\gamma_t| = 1$.

The mKdV flow (5.41)–(5.42), after t has been rescaled, is given by $\mathrm{h}_{1\perp} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\chi}\mathrm{u}_{1\,x}$, $\mathrm{h}_{2\perp} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\chi}\mathrm{u}_{2\,x}$, $\mathbf{h}_{1\perp} = -\sqrt{\chi}\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}$, $\mathbf{h}_{2\perp} = -\sqrt{\chi}\mathbf{u}_{2\,x}$, which gives $\mathrm{h}_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\chi}(|\mathrm{u}_1|^2+|\mathrm{u}_2|^2+|\mathbf{u}_1|^2+|\mathbf{u}_2|^2)$ from the structure equation (5.23). This determines

$$(e_t)_{\perp} = \sqrt{\chi}\big((\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{u}_{1\,x}, \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{u}_{2\,x}), (-\mathbf{u}_{1\,x}, -\mathbf{u}_{2\,x})\big) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \tag{5.59}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(e_t)_{\parallel} &= \frac{\sqrt{\chi}}{4}\big(Q(\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_1) + Q(\mathrm{u}_2,\mathrm{u}_2) + Q(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_1) + Q(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{u}_2)\big) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel} \\ &= \frac{\chi}{4}(Q(\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_1) + Q(\mathrm{u}_2,\mathrm{u}_2) + Q(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_1) + Q(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{u}_2))e_x.\end{aligned} \tag{5.60}$$

Then $e_t = e\rfloor\gamma_t$ can be expressed as follows in terms of

$$e\rfloor N = [\omega_x, e_x] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}\big((-2\mathrm{u}_1, -2\mathrm{u}_2), (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)\big) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp}, \tag{5.61}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(e\rfloor\nabla_x N)_{\perp} &= (e\rfloor N)_x + [\omega_x, e\rfloor N]_{\perp} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}\big((-2\mathrm{u}_{1\,x}, -2\mathrm{u}_{2\,x}), (\mathbf{u}_{1\,x} + 3\mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{u}_1 - 3\mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{u}}_2, \mathbf{u}_{2\,x} + 3\mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{u}_2 + 3\mathrm{u}_2\overline{\mathbf{u}}_1)\big) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\perp},\end{aligned} \tag{5.62}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(e\rfloor\nabla_x N)_{\parallel} &= [\omega_x, e\rfloor N]_{\parallel} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi}}(2Q(\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_1) + 2Q(\mathrm{u}_2,\mathrm{u}_2) + \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_1) + \tfrac{1}{2}Q(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{u}_2)) \in \mathfrak{m}_{\parallel}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.63}$$

To proceed, it is useful to introduce the linear map defined for all $Z \in T_\gamma M$ by

$$\mathrm{ad}(Z)^2 = -R(\cdot, Z)Z. \tag{5.64}$$

Now consider

$$e\rfloor \mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N = \mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-2}e\rfloor N = -\mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}\omega_x = \sqrt{\chi}\big((\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{u}_1, \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{u}_2), (-\mathbf{u}_1, -\mathbf{u}_2)\big) \in \mathfrak{m}_\perp. \tag{5.65}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} e\rfloor(\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N)^2X)_\perp &= (\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}\omega_x)^2e_x)_\perp = [\omega_x, \mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}\omega_x]_\perp \\ &= -\frac{3\sqrt{\chi}}{2}\big((0,0), (\mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathrm{u}_2\bar{\mathbf{u}}_2, \mathrm{u}_1\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathrm{u}_2\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1)\big) \in \mathfrak{m}_\perp \end{aligned} \tag{5.66}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e\rfloor(\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}\omega_x)^2e_x)_\parallel &= (\mathrm{ad}(e\rfloor\mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N)^2e_x)_\parallel = [\omega_x, \mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}\omega_x]_\parallel \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{\chi}}{2}\big(Q(\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_1) + Q(\mathrm{u}_2,\mathrm{u}_2) + Q(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_1) + Q(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{u}_2)\big) \in \mathfrak{m}_\parallel \end{aligned} \tag{5.67}$$

by means of the Lie brackets (3.63a) and (3.63b). In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(N, \mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N) &= g(X, \mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N)^2X) = -\langle e_x, [\omega_x, \mathrm{ad}(e_x)^{-1}\omega_x]\rangle \\ &= -\frac{\chi}{2}(Q(\mathrm{u}_1,\mathrm{u}_1) + Q(\mathrm{u}_2,\mathrm{u}_2) + Q(\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_1) + Q(\mathbf{u}_2,\mathbf{u}_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{5.68}$$

since the inner product is ad-invariant.

Comparing equations (5.59)–(5.60) with equations (5.62)–(5.63) and (5.66)–(5.68), we see that

$$(e_t)_\perp + 4(e_t)_\parallel = e\rfloor\mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}\nabla_x N - 2e\rfloor\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N)^2X, \tag{5.69}$$

$$2(e_t)_\parallel = -g(N, \mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N)e\rfloor X. \tag{5.70}$$

This yields

$$e\rfloor\gamma_t = (e_t)_\perp + (e_t)_\parallel = e\rfloor\mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}\nabla_x N - 2e\rfloor\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N)^2X + \frac{3}{2}g(N, \mathrm{ad}(X)^{-2}N)e\rfloor X. \tag{5.71}$$

Hence the flow vector $\gamma_t = Y_{(1)}$ satisfies

$$\gamma_t = \mathrm{ad}(\gamma_x)^{-2}\nabla_x^2\gamma_x - 2\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{ad}(\gamma_x)^{-2}\nabla_x\gamma_x)^2\gamma_x + \frac{3}{2}g(\nabla_x\gamma_x, \mathrm{ad}(\gamma_x)^{-2}\nabla_x\gamma_x)\gamma_x, \quad |\gamma_x| = 1, \tag{5.72}$$

which is a $Sp(n+1)$-invariant curve flow equation called the *non-stretching mKdV map* on $M = Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$. The nonlinearities in this equation are more complicated than in the mKdV map (4.93) on $M = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ because of the algebraic property that here the vector spaces $\mathfrak{m}_\perp \simeq \mathfrak{h}_\perp$ each split into two orthogonal eigenspaces under the linear map $\mathrm{ad}(e_x)^2$.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The Riemannian symmetric spaces $M = SU(2n)/Sp(n), Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ describe curved generalizations of Euclidean geometries in which the Euclidean isometry group is replaced by the Lie group $G = SU(2n), Sp(n+1)$ and the Euclidean frame rotation gauge group is replaced by a symplectic subgroup $H = Sp(n), Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ in G. For arclength-parameterized curves in these geometries, the components of the Cartan connection in a suitably defined parallel frame [8] along the curve represent differential covariants of the curve, which can be related to standard differential invariants by a generalized Hasimoto transformation. In both geometries these covariants are determined uniquely from the curve up to the action of a rigid equivalence group $H_{\parallel} = Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)$ in H. In particular, when $H = Sp(n)$, the covariants transform as a pair of complex vectors having a total of $4n-4$ real components, whereas when $H = Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$, the covariants transform as an imaginary scalar and a complex scalar, plus a pair of complex vectors, comprising $4n-1$ real components in total.

For curves undergoing geometric flows described by the non-stretching mKdV map equation [8] and the non-stretching wave map equation, the covariants of the curve respectively satisfy bi-Hamiltonian mKdV and SG equations that exhibit invariance under the symplectic group $Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)$. The simplest cases of these equations occur for the low-dimensional Riemannian symmetric spaces $M = SU(4)/Sp(2), Sp(2)/Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$.

In the case of $M = SU(4)/Sp(2)$, the covariants reduce to a pair of complex scalars $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ that transform as a representation of the symplectic group $Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$. The resulting multi-component $Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$-invariant mKdV and SG equations (cf (4.43) and (4.74)) for this pair of variables are equivalent to well-known $SO(4)$-invariant equations $\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{u}_{xxx} + |\mathbf{u}|^2\mathbf{u}_x$ and $\mathbf{u}_{tx} = \pm\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{u}_t|^2}\mathbf{u}$ for the 4-component vector variable $\mathbf{u} = (\mathrm{Re}u_1, \mathrm{Im}u_1, \mathrm{Re}u_2, \mathrm{Im}u_2)$. This equivalence is a consequence of the local isomorphisms $SU(4) \simeq SO(6)$ and $Sp(2) \simeq SO(5)$ which imply that $M = SU(4)/Sp(2)$ is locally isometric to $SO(6)/SO(5)$.

In the case of $M = Sp(2)/Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$, the covariants reduce to an imaginary scalar $u_1 \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ plus a complex scalar $u_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, transforming as a representation of the symplectic group $Sp(1)$. As a consequence of the local isomorphisms $Sp(2) \simeq SO(5)$ and $Sp(1) \times Sp(1) \simeq SO(4)$, which imply $M = Sp(2)/Sp(1) \times Sp(1) \simeq SO(5)/SO(4)$, the resulting multi-component $Sp(1)$-invariant mKdV and SG equations (cf (5.41)–(5.42) and (5.54)–(5.55)) for these variables are equivalent to $SO(3)$-invariant equations $\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{u}_{xxx} + |\mathbf{u}|^2\mathbf{u}_x$ and $\mathbf{u}_{tx} = \pm\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{u}_t|^2}\mathbf{u}$ for the 3-component vector variable $\mathbf{u} = (\mathrm{Im}u_1, \mathrm{Re}u_2, \mathrm{Im}u_2)$. This is a reduction of the 4-component vector equations in the previous case.

For all other cases, the multi-component $Sp(1) \times Sp(n-1)$-invariant mKdV and SG equations that arise from the geometries $M = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ when $n > 2$ and $M = Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ when $n > 1$ are new and different from each other.

As will be explained by general results presented elsewhere, no NLS equations arise from these geometries $M = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ and $M = Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ since neither of them has a hermitian structure. The same statement applies to the geometries $M = SO(n+1)/SO(n)$ and $M = SU(n)/SO(n)$ considered in earlier work [11, 21]. Nevertheless, symplectically-invariant NLS equations can be derived from the corresponding Lie groups $G = SU(2n)$ and $G = Sp(n+1)$, in analogy with the derivation of unitarily-invariant NLS equations from $G = SO(n+1)$ and $G = SU(n)$ carried out in Ref. [9] by means of a suitable parallel frame formulation for non-stretching geometric curve flows in these Lie groups.

REFERENCES

[1] R.E. Goldstein and D.M. Petrich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991), 3203–3206.

[2] A. Doliwa, P.M. Santini, Phys. Lett. A 185 (1994), 373–384.

[3] J. Langer and R. Perline, Phys. Lett. A 239 (1998), 36–40.

[4] M. Gurses, Phys. Lett. A 241, 329–334 (1998).

[5] R. Hasimoto, J. Fluid Mechanics 51 (1972), 477–485.

[6] M. Lakshmanan, Phys. Lett. A 61, 53–54 (1977).

[7] S.C. Anco and R. Myrzakulov, J. Geom. Phys. 60 (2010) 1576–1603.

[8] S.C. Anco, J. Geom. Phys. 58 (2008), 1–37.

[9] S.C. Anco, in *Symmetries and overdetermined systems of partial differential equations*, 223–250, IMA Volumes in Math. and Appl. 144 (Springer, New York, 2008).

[10] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces* (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2001).

[11] S.C. Anco, SIGMA 044 (2006), 18 pages.

[12] J. Sanders and J.-P. Wang, Moscow Mathematical Journal 3 (2003), 1369–1393.

[13] V.V. Sokolov and T. Wolf, J. Phys. A: Math. and Gen. 34 (2001), 11139–11148.

[14] S. Anco, T. Wolf, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 12 (2005), 13–31. ibid. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 12 (2005), 607–608.

[15] S.C. Anco and E. Asadi, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009), 485201 (25 pages).

[16] E. Asadi and J. Sanders, in *SPT 2007—Symmetry and perturbation theory*, 21–28 (World Sci., Hackensack, NJ, 2008).

[17] T. Tsuchida, J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010) 053511 (27 pages).

[18] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry* Vol. I and II, (Wiley 1969).

[19] R.W. Sharpe. *Differential geometry*, Vol. 166 Graduate Texts in Mathematics (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997).

[20] H. Guggenheimer, *Differential Geometry* (McGraw Hill, 1963).

[21] S.C. Anco, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006), 2043–2072.

E-mail address: `sanco@brocku.ca`
E-mail address: `easadi@brocku.ca`